

A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR MAPPINGS WITH A CONTRACTIVE ITERATE IN RECTANGULAR b -METRIC SPACES

Zoran D. Mitrović

Abstract. In this paper, we give a proof for Sehgal-Guseman theorem of fixed point in rectangular b -metric spaces. Our result is supported with a suitable example. As a corollary of our results, we obtain fixed point results of contraction mappings in b -metric spaces.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1922, Banach proved the following contraction mapping principle.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let T be a contractive mapping on X , that is, one for which exists $q \in [0, 1)$ satisfying*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq qd(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique fixed point $x \in X$ of T .

This theorem is a forceful tool in nonlinear analysis, has many applications and has been extended by a great number of authors. In 1969, Sehgal [8] proved the following generalization of the contraction mapping principle.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $q \in [0, 1)$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping. If for each $x \in X$ there exists a positive integer $k = k(x)$ such that*

$$d(T^{k(x)}x, T^{k(x)}y) \leq qd(x, y)$$

for all $y \in X$, then T has a unique fixed point $u \in X$. Moreover, for any $x \in X$, $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x$.

In 1970, Guseman [5] generalized the result of Sehgal to mappings which are both necessarily continuous and which have a contractive iterate at each point in a (possibly proper) subset of the space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10

Keywords and phrases: Fixed points, rectangular b -metric space.

In the paper [4] authors introduced the concept of rectangular b -metric space, which is not necessarily Hausdorff and which generalizes the concept of metric space, rectangular metric space (RMS) and b -metric space.

DEFINITION 1.3. [4] Let X be a nonempty set and the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies:

(RbM1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(RbM2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

(RbM3) there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(v, y)]$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all distinct points $u, v \in X \setminus \{x, y\}$.

Then d is called a rectangular b -metric on X with coefficient s and (X, d, s) is called a rectangular b -metric space (in short RbMS).

Note that every rectangular metric space is a rectangular b -metric space (with coefficient $s = 1$). However the converse of the above implication is not necessarily true.

Also in [4] the concept of convergence in such spaces is similar to that of standard metric spaces (see for example [6, 7]).

DEFINITION 1.4. [4] Let (X, d) be a b -rectangular metric space, $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then:

- (a) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent in (X, d) and converges to x , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ for all $n > n_0$ and this fact is represented by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be Cauchy sequence in (X, d) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x_{n+p}) < \varepsilon$ for all $n > n_0, p > 0$ or equivalently, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$.
- (c) (X, d) is said to be a complete b -rectangular metric space if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $x \in X$.

In the papers of Bakhtin [1] and Czerwik [2], the notion of b -metric space has been introduced and some fixed point theorems for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in b -metric spaces were proved.

DEFINITION 1.5. Let X be a nonempty set and let $b \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a b -metric if and only if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;

$$(3) \quad d(x, z) \leq b[d(x, y) + d(y, z)].$$

A triplet (X, d, b) , is called a b -metric space.

Note that a metric space is included in the class of b -metric spaces with coefficient $s \geq 1$. Note also that every b -metric space is a rectangular b -metric space (with coefficient s^2) but the converse is not necessarily true ([4], Examples 2.7).

We have the following diagram where arrows stand for inclusions. The inverse inclusions do not hold.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{metric space} & \longrightarrow & b\text{-metric space} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{rectangular metric space} & \longrightarrow & b\text{-rectangular metric space} \end{array}$$

The aim of this paper is to obtain Theorem 1.2. in rectangular b -metric spaces.

2. Main Result

LEMMA 2.1. *Let (X, d, s) be a complete rectangular b -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a mapping satisfying the condition: for each $x \in X$ there exists $k(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$d(T^{k(x)}x, T^{k(x)}y) \leq \lambda d(x, y),$$

for all $y \in X$, where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then for each $x \in X$, $r(x) = \sup\{d(T^n(x), x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is finite or T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and let

$$l(x) = \sup\{d(T^k(x), x) : k \in \{1, \dots, k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{n_0} + k_{n_0+1}\}\},$$

where $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^{n_0} < \frac{1}{2s}$ and

$$k_1 = k(x), k_2 = k(T^{k_1}x), k_3 = k(T^{k_2+k_1}x), \dots, k_{n_0+1} = k(T^{k_{n_0}+\dots+k_1}x).$$

Let $S = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{n_0}$ and $S_1 = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{n_0} + k_{n_0+1}$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^Sx, T^{S+m}x) &= d(T^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{n_0}}x, T^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{n_0}}(T^m)x) \\ &\leq \lambda d(T^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{n_0-1}}x, T^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{n_0-1}}(T^m)x) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \lambda^{n_0} d(x, T^m x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So} \quad d(T^Sx, T^{S+m}x) \leq \lambda^{n_0} d(x, T^m x) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

Similarly, we get

$$d(T^{S_1}x, T^{S_1+m}x) \leq \lambda^{n_0+1} d(x, T^m x) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. If $T^n x = T^S x$ then $d(x, T^n x) \leq l(x)$ and proof is holds.

2. If $T^S x = T^{S_1} x$ then $T^S x = T^{S+1} x$ and $T^S x$ is a fixed point of T and proof is finished. Namely, if $T^S x \neq T^{S+1} x$, we obtain

$$d(T^S x, T^{S+1} x) = d(T^{S_1} x, T^{S_1+1} x) \leq \lambda d(T^S x, T^{S+1} x) < d(T^S x, T^{S+1} x).$$

It is a contradiction.

3. If $T^{S_1} x = x$ then $Tx = x$ and proof is holds. Namely, if $Tx \neq x$ then we have

$$d(x, Tx) = d(T^{S_1} x, T^{S_1+1} x) \leq \lambda^{n_0+1} d(x, Tx) \stackrel{(2)}{<} d(x, Tx).$$

It is a contradiction.

So, $T^S x$ and $T^{S_1} x$ distinct point and $T^S x, T^{S_1} x \in X \setminus \{T^n x, x\}$. If $n > S$ then there exists an integer $t \geq 0$ such that $tS < n \leq (t+1)S$. From (RbM3), (1) and (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, x) &\leq s[d(T^{S+(n-S)} x, T^S x) + d(T^S x, T^{S_1} x) + d(T^{S_1} x, x)] \\ &\leq s[\lambda^{n_0} d(T^{n-S} x, x) + \lambda^{n_0} d(x, T^{k_{n_0+1}} x) + l(x)] \\ &\leq s \left[\frac{1}{2s} d(T^{n-S} x, x) + \frac{1}{2s} l(x) + l(x) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} d(T^{n-S} x, x) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + s \right) l(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$d(T^{n-S} x, x) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(T^{n-2S} x, x) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + s \right) l(x).$$

So,
$$d(T^n x, x) \leq \frac{1}{2^2} d(T^{n-2S} x, Tx) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + s \right) l(x).$$

Continuing in this process we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, x) &\leq \frac{1}{2^t} d(T^{n-tS} x, Tx) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{t-1}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + s \right) l(x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^t} l(x) + 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + s \right) l(x) \leq 2(1+s)l(x) \end{aligned}$$

and $r(x)$ is finite. □

THEOREM 2.2. *Let (X, d, s) be a complete rectangular b -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a mapping satisfying the condition: for each $x \in X$ there exists $k(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$d(T^{k(x)} x, T^{k(x)} y) \leq \lambda d(x, y), \quad (3)$$

for all $y \in X$, where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point, say $u \in X$, and $T^n x \rightarrow u$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. Let $k_1 = k(x_0)$, $x_1 = T^{k_1} x_0$ and inductively

$k_{i+1} = k(x_i)$, $x_{i+1} = T^{k_{i+1}}x_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+p}, x_n) &= d(T^{k_{n+p}}x_{n+p-1}, T^{k_n}x_{n-1}) \\
&= d(T^{k_{n+p}+k_{n+p-1}}x_{n+p-2}, T^{k_n+k_{n-1}}x_{n-2}) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= d(T^{k_{n+p}+k_{n+p-1}+\dots+k_{p+1}}x_p, T^{k_n+k_{n-1}+\dots+k_1}x_0) \\
&= d(T^{k_{n+p}+k_{n+p-1}+\dots+k_{p+1}+k_p}x_{p-1}, T^{k_n+k_{n-1}+\dots+k_1}x_0) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= d(T^{k_{n+p}+k_{n+p-1}+\dots+k_n+\dots+k_1}x_0, T^{k_n+k_{n-1}+\dots+k_1}x_0) \\
&= d(T^{k_n+k_{n-1}+\dots+k_1}(T^{k_{n+1}+\dots+k_{n+p}}x_0), T^{k_n+k_{n-1}+\dots+k_1}x_0) \\
&\leq \lambda^n d(T^{k_{n+1}+\dots+k_{n+p}}x_0, x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(x_{n+p}, x_n) \leq \lambda^n r(x_0)$.

1. If $r(x_0)$ is not finite, from Lemma 2.1. we conclude that T has the fixed point and the proof is finished.

2. If $r(x_0) < +\infty$ we infer that (x_n) is Cauchy. From the completeness of (X, d, s) we have $x_n \rightarrow u$, for some $u \in X$. Now, we shall show that $Tu = u$. For this u there is $k(u) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(T^{k(u)}u, T^{k(u)}x_n) \leq \lambda d(x_n, u)$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^{k(u)}x_n, T^{k(u)}u) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Now, from (3) we have

$$d(T^{k(u)}x_n, x_n) = d(T^{k(u)+k_{n-1}}x_{n-1}, T^{k_{n-1}}x_{n-1}) \leq \lambda d(T^{k(u)}x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})$$

and it follows that

$$d(T^{k(u)}x_n, x_n) \leq \lambda^n d(T^{k(u)}x_0, x_0) \leq \lambda^n r(x_0).$$

From Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^{k(u)}x_n, x_n) = 0. \quad (5)$$

From triangle inequality (RbM3) we obtain

$$d(T^{k(u)}u, u) \leq s[d(T^{k(u)}u, T^{k(u)}x_n) + d(T^{k(u)}x_n, x_n) + d(x_n, u)]$$

and together with (4) and (5) we obtain $d(T^{k(u)}u, u) = 0$. By (3), u is the unique fixed point for $T^{k(u)}$. Then $Tu = T(T^{k(u)}) = T^{k(u)}(Tu)$ implies that $Tu = u$. But then u is the unique fixed point of T \square

EXAMPLE 2.3. The space $l^p = \{(x_n) \subset \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |x_n|^p < +\infty\}$, $p \in (0, 1)$, together with the function $d : l^p \times l^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$d(x, y) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $x = (x_n), y = (y_n) \in l^p$, is a rectangular b -metric space with $s = 2^{2+\frac{2}{p}}$. Indeed,

by an elementary calculation we obtain $d(x, y) \leq 2^{2+\frac{2}{p}}[d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(v, y)]$, for all $x, y \in l^p$ and all distinct points $u, v \in l^p \setminus \{x, y\}$. Let $T : l^p \rightarrow l^p$ be a mapping defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \dots) = \left(0, x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \frac{x_3}{2}, \frac{x_4}{2}, \dots\right)$$

has a unique fixed point $(0, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} T^2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \dots) &= \left(0, 0, \frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2^2}, \frac{x_3}{2^2}, \frac{x_4}{2^2}, \dots\right), \\ T^3(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots) &= \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{x_1}{2^2}, \frac{x_2}{2^3}, \frac{x_3}{2^3}, \frac{x_4}{2^3}, \dots\right), \\ &\vdots \\ T^n(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \dots) &= \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_n, \frac{x_1}{2^{n-1}}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \frac{x_3}{2^n}, \frac{x_4}{2^n}, \dots\right). \end{aligned}$$

Further, for fixed $x \in l^p$ and any $y \in l^p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, T^n y) &= \left(\frac{|x_1 - y_1|^p}{2^{p(n-1)}} + \frac{|x_2 - y_2|^p}{2^{pn}} + \frac{|x_3 - y_3|^p}{2^{pn}} + \dots\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2^{p(n-1)}} (|x_1 - y_1|^p + |x_2 - y_2|^p + |x_3 - y_3|^p + \dots)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any fixed $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ and every $x \in l^p$ there exists $k(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $y \in l^p$

$$d\left(T^{k(x)} x, T^{k(x)} y\right) \leq \lambda d(x, y).$$

On the other hand, T is not a contraction. For $x = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $y = (2, 0, 0, \dots)$, we have $Tx = (0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$, $Ty = (0, 2, 0, 0, \dots)$, $d(x, y) = 1$, $d(Tx, Ty) = 1$. So, $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$ implies $\lambda \geq 1$.

From Theorem 2.2 we obtain the following variant of Banach and theorem in rectangular b -metric spaces.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete rectangular b -metric space with coefficient $s > 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point.*

3. Sehgal-Guseman theorem in b -metric spaces

LEMMA 3.1. *If (X, d) is a b -metric space with coefficient s , then (X, d) is a rectangular b -metric space with coefficient s^2 .*

Proof. Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with coefficient s . Let u and v be distinct points

such that $u, v \in X \setminus \{x, y\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq s[d(x, u) + d(u, y)] \\ &\leq s[d(x, u) + s[d(u, v) + d(v, y)]] \leq s^2[d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(v, y)]. \end{aligned}$$

So, (X, d) is a rectangular b -metric space with coefficient s^2 . \square

From Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.2 we obtain the next result in b -metric space.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a mapping satisfying the condition: for each $x \in X$ there exists $k(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$d\left(T^{k(x)}x, T^{k(x)}y\right) \leq \lambda d(x, y),$$

for all $y \in X$, where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point, say $u \in X$, and $T^n x \rightarrow u$ for each $x \in X$.

Note that, from Theorem 3.2. we obtain the Banach contraction principle in b -metric spaces.

THEOREM 3.3. *[3, Theorem 2.1] Let (X, d, s) be a complete b -metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a map such that for all $x, y \in X$ and some $\lambda \in [0, 1)$,*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y).$$

Then T has a unique fixed point u and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = u$ for all $x \in X$.

REMARK 3.4. Corollary 2.4 provides a complete solution to an open problem 1 raised by George, Radenović, Reshma and Shukla in [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] I. A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces*, *Funct. Anal., Uni-anowsk Gos. Ped. Inst.*, **30** (1989), 26–37.
- [2] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.*, **1** (1993), 5–11.
- [3] N. V. Dung, V. T. L. Hang, *On relaxations of contraction constants and Caristi's theorem in b -metric spaces*, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **18**, (2016), 267–284.
- [4] R. George, S. Radenović, K. P. Reshma, S. Shukla, *Rectangular b -metric space and contraction principles*, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **8** (2015), 1005–1013.
- [5] L. F. Guseman, *Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point*, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **26**, (1970), 615–618.
- [6] Z. Mustafa, V. Parvaneh, J. R. Roshan, Z. Kadelburg, *b_2 -Metric spaces and some fixed point theorems*, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014,2014:144.
- [7] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, N. Hussain, *New fixed point results in b -rectangular metric spaces*, *Nonlinear Anal., Model. Control*, **21**, No. 5, (2016), 614–634.
- [8] V. M. Sehgal, *A fixed point theorem for mappings with a contractive iterate*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (1969), 631–634.

(received 09.04.2017; in revised form 13.07.2017; available online 10.11.2017)

University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Patre 5, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina

E-mail: zmitrovic@etfbl.net, zoran.mitrovic@etf.unibl.org