

## NON-NORMAL $p$ -BICIRCULANTS, $p$ A PRIME

Majid Arezoomand

**Abstract.** A graph  $\Gamma$  is called a semi-Cayley graph over a group  $G$ , if there exists a semiregular subgroup  $R_G$  of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  isomorphic to  $G$  with two orbits (of equal size). We say that  $\Gamma$  is normal if  $R_G$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . Semi-Cayley graphs over cyclic groups are called bicirculants. In this paper, we determine all non-normal bicirculants over a group of prime order.

### 1. Introduction and result

For a graph  $\Gamma$ , we let  $V(\Gamma)$ ,  $E(\Gamma)$ ,  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  and  $\Gamma^c$  denote the vertex set, the edge set, the full automorphism group and the complement of  $\Gamma$ , respectively. We say that  $\Gamma$  is vertex-transitive, primitive or imprimitive when  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  acts transitively, primitively or imprimitively on  $V(\Gamma)$ , respectively. Our notation and terminology are standard. For the group-theoretic and graph-theoretic terminology not defined here we refer the reader to [3] and [5], respectively. Throughout the paper all graphs are finite and simple. Also, for a group  $G$  we denote  $G \setminus \{1_G\}$  by  $G^*$  and we use the multiplicative notation for cyclic groups.

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $S = S^{-1} \subseteq G^*$ . The Cayley graph  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$  of  $G$  with respect to  $S$  has vertex set  $G$  and edge set  $\{(g, sg) \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$ . It is well-known that the right regular representation  $R(G)$  of  $G$  is a regular subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . If  $R(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\Gamma$  is called a normal Cayley graph over  $G$  [13]. The study of normality of Cayley graphs, which plays an important role in the investigation of various symmetry properties of graphs, was started by Xu in [13] and it is still an active topic in algebraic graph theory. We encourage the reader to consult [4] for a survey up to 2008.

By a theorem of Sabidussi [12], a graph  $\Gamma$  is a Cayley graph of a group  $G$  if and only if there exists a regular subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  isomorphic to  $G$ . In analogy to the Sabidussi's Theorem, a graph  $\Gamma$  is called a *semi-Cayley* graph over a group  $G$  if there exists a semi-regular subgroup  $R_G$  of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  isomorphic to  $G$  with two orbits

---

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 05C25, 20B25

*Keywords and phrases:* Semi-Cayley graph; bicirculant; normal semi-Cayley graph.

(of equal size) [11]. Semi-Cayley graphs are called by some authors *bi-Cayley* graphs, see for example [14]. Recently, some authors studied the structure of automorphism group of semi-Cayley graphs [1, 14]. In analogy to the concept of normality of Cayley graphs, Arezoomand and Taeri defined normal semi-Cayley graphs. A semi-Cayley graph  $\Gamma$  over a group  $G$  is called *normal* if  $R_G$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  [1]. It is clear that  $\Gamma$  is a normal semi-Cayley graph over a group  $G$  if and only if its complement,  $\Gamma^c$ , is a normal semi-Cayley graph over  $G$ . An important subclass of semi-Cayley graphs are *bircirculants*, which are semi-Cayley graphs over cyclic groups. For an equivalent definition of bircirculants see [9]. Recently, the study of bircirculants have been the object of many papers, see for example [6]– [10]). In [9], the symmetry structure of bircirculants over a group of prime order  $p$  is determined. In this paper, our aim is to classify non-normal bircirculants over a group of prime order  $p$ .

Resmini and Jungnickel [11] determined the structure of semi-Cayley graphs: A graph  $\Gamma$  is a semi-Cayley graph over a group  $G$  if there exist subsets  $R = R^{-1} \subseteq G^*$ ,  $L = L^{-1} \subseteq G^*$  and  $S$  of  $G$  such that  $\Gamma \cong \text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$  where  $\text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$  is a graph with vertex set  $G \times \{1, 2\}$  and edge set  $E_R \cup E_L \cup E_S$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \{(x, 1), (y, 1) \mid yx^{-1} \in R\} & \quad (\text{right edges}), \\ \{(x, 2), (y, 2) \mid yx^{-1} \in L\} & \quad (\text{left edges}), \\ \{(x, 1), (y, 2) \mid yx^{-1} \in S\} & \quad (\text{spoke edges}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g \in G$  and  $\rho_g$  be a permutation of the vertex set of  $\text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$  such that  $(x, i)^{\rho_g} = (xg, i)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $R_G = \{\rho_g \mid g \in G\}$  is a semi-regular subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\text{SC}(G; R, L, S))$  isomorphic to  $G$  with two orbits  $G \times \{1\}$  and  $G \times \{2\}$ . Hence, we may denote a semi-Cayley graph over a group  $G$  by  $\text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$  for some suitable subsets  $R, L$  and  $S$  of  $G$ . We denote the subgraph of  $\Gamma = \text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$  induced by all the edges of  $\Gamma$  having one end-vertex in  $G \times \{1\}$  and the other in  $G \times \{2\}$  (in other words when  $R = L = \emptyset$ ) with  $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ . Note that in  $\text{BCay}(G, S)$  maybe  $S \neq S^{-1}$ . But if  $S$  is inverse-closed then  $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong \text{Cay}(G, S) \otimes K_2$ , where  $\otimes$  denotes the tensor product of graphs [2, Lemma 3.2]. Note that in [9], a birculant  $\text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$ ,  $G \times \{1\}$ ,  $G \times \{2\}$  and  $\text{BCay}(G, S)$  are denoted by  $[R, L, S]$ ,  $U$ ,  $W$  and  $[U, W]$ , respectively.

Using the classification of  $p$ -birculants,  $p$  a prime, given in [9], we classify all non-normal birculants over a group of prime order  $p$ :

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-normal birculant over a group  $G = \langle x \rangle$  of prime order  $p$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is one of the following graphs.*

- (a)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G; G^*, G^*, G) \cong K_4$ ,  $p = 2$ .
- (b)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G; G^*, G^*, \{1_G\})$ ,  $p = 2$ .
- (c)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{BCay}(G, \{1_G\})$ ,  $p = 2$ .
- (d)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ , where  $\Gamma_i$  are two non-isomorphic Cayley graphs of order  $p$ ,  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong \text{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)$  and  $p > 2$ .

- (e)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G; G^*, \emptyset, S)$  and  $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong pK_2$ , in which case  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong S_p$  and  $p > 3$ .
- (f)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G; G^*, \emptyset, S)$  and  $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong B(\text{PG}(n, q))$  where  $p = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$ , in which  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{PSL}(n, q)$  and  $p > 3$ .
- (g)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G; G^*, \emptyset, S)$  and  $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong B(H(11))$ , in which case  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 11)$  and  $S = \{x, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^9\}$ ,  $p = 11$ .
- (h)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong 2pK_1, pK_2$  or  $2X$ , where  $X$  is connected Cayley graph of order  $p$  and  $p > 2$ .
- (i)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong P$ , where  $P$  is the Petersen graph,  $p = 5$ .
- (j)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong Y[2K_1]$ , where  $Y$  is a Cayley graph of order  $p$  and  $p > 2$ .
- (k)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong B(\text{PG}(n, q))$  or  $C(\text{PG}(n, q))$  where  $p = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$ , in which  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{PGL}(n, q)$  and  $p > 3$ .
- (l)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong B(H(11))$  or  $C(H(11))$ , in which  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{PGL}(2, 11)$  and  $p = 11$ , where the incidence graph of the projective space  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  and the Hadamard design  $H(11)$  on 11 points are denoted by  $B(\text{PG}(n, q))$  and  $B(H(11))$  and their non-incidence graphs are denoted by  $C(\text{PG}(n, q))$  and  $C(H(11))$ , respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some preliminaries and results which are used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $\Gamma = \text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$  and  $X$  be the set of all maps  $\psi : V(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Gamma)$ , where  $(x, 1)^\psi = (x^\sigma, 1)$  and  $(x, 2)^\psi = (gx^\sigma, 2)$ , for some  $g \in G$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$  such that  $R^\sigma = R$ ,  $L^\sigma = g^{-1}Lg$ , and  $S^\sigma = g^{-1}S$ . Also, let  $Y$  be the set of all maps  $\varphi : V(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Gamma)$ , where  $(x, 1)^\varphi = (x^\theta, 2)$  and  $(x, 2)^\varphi = (hx^\theta, 1)$ , for some  $h \in G$  and  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$  such that  $R^\theta = L$ ,  $L^\theta = h^{-1}Rh$  and  $S^\theta = h^{-1}S^{-1}$  with the convention that if one of the pair sets  $R, L$  is empty and the other is non-empty or  $S = \emptyset$ , we put  $Y = \emptyset$ . Also if in the above equalities, one of the subsets is empty, then we omit the equality including it. The structure of normalizer of  $R_G$  in  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  is determined in [1] as follows:

**THEOREM 2.1.** ([1, Theorem 1]) *Let  $\Gamma = \text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$  be a semi-Cayley graph over a group  $G$ , and  $X, Y$  be the sets defined above. Then  $N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}(R_G) = ZR_G$ , where  $Z = X \cup Y$ . Furthermore,  $R_G \cap Z = \{1_G\}$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** ([1, Proposition 2]) *Let  $\Gamma = \text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$  be a semi-Cayley graph over  $G$ . Then*

- (1)  $R_G \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = ZR_G$ ,

(2) if  $R_G \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)_{(1,1)} = X$  and the converse holds if  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  is not transitive on  $V(\Gamma)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.3.** (*[1, Corollary 3.2]*) Let  $\Gamma$  be a normal semi-Cayley graph over a group  $G$  such that  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is solvable. Then  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  is solvable. In particular, the automorphism group of every normal semi-Cayley graph over a cyclic group is solvable.

The symmetry structure of bicirculants over a group of prime order is fully given in [9]. We collect its result as follows. Note that in the following theorem the lexicographic product and the disjoint union of graphs  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are denoted by  $\Gamma_1[\Gamma_2]$  and  $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ , respectively.

**THEOREM 2.4.** (*[9, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2]*) Let  $\Gamma$  be a bicirculant over a group  $G = \langle x \rangle$  of prime order  $p$ . Then one of the following occurs.

- (1)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G; R, L, \emptyset) \cong \text{Cay}(G, R) + \text{Cay}(G, L)$ , where  $\text{Cay}(G, R)$  and  $\text{Cay}(G, L)$  are two non-isomorphic Cayley graphs of order  $p$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, R)) \times \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, L))$ .
- (2)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G; G^*, \emptyset, S)$  and  $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong pK_2$ , in which case  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong S_p$ .
- (3)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G; G^*, \emptyset, S)$  and  $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong B(\text{PG}(n, q))$ , where  $p = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$ , in which case  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{P}\Sigma\text{L}(n, q)$ .
- (4)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G; G^*, \emptyset, S)$  and  $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong B(\text{H}(11))$ , in which case  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 11)$  and  $S = \{x, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^9\}$ ,  $p = 11$ .
- (5) There exists  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = R_G \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_d$ , where  $d$  divides  $p - 1$  (for more details about the map  $\sigma$  and the structure of  $\Gamma$ , see [9, Theorem 2.1(iii)]).
- (6)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong 2pK_1$ ,  $pK_2$  or  $2X$ , where  $X$  is a connected Cayley graph of order  $p$ .
- (7)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong P$ , where  $P$  is the Petersen graph.
- (8)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong Y[2K_1]$ , where  $Y$  is a Cayley graph.
- (9)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong B(\text{PG}(n, q))$  or  $C(\text{PG}(n, q))$ , where  $p = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$ , in which  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(n, q)$ .
- (10)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c \cong B(\text{H}(11))$  or  $C(\text{H}(11))$ , in which case  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 11)$ .
- (11) There exist  $\alpha, \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \langle \alpha \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_d$ , where  $d$  is a divisor of  $p - 1$  and  $\rho_x = \alpha^{p-1}$ , where  $R_G = \langle \rho_x \rangle$  (for more details about the maps  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  and the structure of  $\Gamma$ , see [9, Theorem 2.2(v)]).
- (12) There exists  $\omega \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = R_G \rtimes \langle \omega \rangle$  (for more details about the map  $\omega$  and the structure of  $\Gamma$ , see [9, Theorem 2.2(vi)]).

REMARK 2.5. In Theorem 2.4, all graphs other than (1)–(5) are vertex-transitive. Also in all cases other than (1) and (6),  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma^c$  are both connected. Moreover, in the cases (8)–(12),  $\Gamma$  is imprimitive and in case (8),  $\Gamma$  has only 2-blocks and in the cases (9)–(12),  $\Gamma$  has at least one  $p$ -block (see the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 of [9] for more details).

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. Let  $\Gamma = \text{SC}(G; R, L, S)$  be a bicirculant over a group  $G = \langle x \rangle$  of prime order  $p$ . We denote the vertex set and the automorphism group of  $\Gamma$  by  $V$  and  $A$ , respectively. Also we assume that  $X$  is the set defined in Theorem 2.1.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is non-normal. Then  $\Gamma$  is one of the twelve graphs given in Theorem 2.4. In the cases (5) and (12),  $\Gamma$  is normal. Also, in the case (11),  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of  $A$  and  $R_G$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $\langle \alpha \rangle$ , which means that  $R_G \trianglelefteq A$ , i.e.  $\Gamma$  is normal. So  $\Gamma$  is one of the graphs (1)–(4) or (6)–(10).

First we assume that  $p = 2$  and  $G = \langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then  $\Gamma$  has 4 vertices and  $R, L \in \{\emptyset, \{x\}\}$ , and  $S \in \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{x\}, G\}$ . By considering all possibilities of  $R, L$  and  $S$ , since  $\Gamma$  is non-normal, we have one of the following cases:

- (a)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G, G^*, G^*) \cong K_4$ ,
- (b)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G, G^*, G^*, \{1_G\})$ ,
- (c)  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma^c = \text{SC}(G, \emptyset, \emptyset, \{1_G\})$ .

Now suppose that  $p > 2$ . First, let  $\Gamma$  be a graph of type (1), i.e.  $\Gamma = \text{SC}(G; R, L, \emptyset) = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ , where  $\Gamma_1 \cong \text{Cay}(G, R)$  and  $\Gamma_2 \cong \text{Cay}(G, L)$ . We claim that  $\Gamma$  is non-normal. Let  $B = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$  and  $C = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_2)$ . Then  $A = B \times C$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $V(\Gamma_1) = G \times \{1\}$  and  $V(\Gamma_2) = G \times \{2\}$ . By [5, Exercise 14.13],  $B \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence  $B_{(1,1)} \neq 1_B$ . Choose an element  $\varphi \in B_{(1,1)} \setminus \{1_B\}$ . Then  $(\varphi, 1_C) \in A_{(1,1)}$ . Suppose, contrary to our claim, that  $\Gamma$  is normal. Then by Proposition 2.2, there exist  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $g \in G$  such that for all  $x \in G$ ,  $(x, 1)^\varphi = (x^\sigma, 1)$  and  $(x, 2)^{1_C} = (gx^\sigma, 2)$ . The second equation implies that  $g = 1_G$  and  $\sigma = 1_{\text{Aut}(G)}$ . Hence  $\varphi = 1_B$ , a contradiction.

Now let  $\Gamma$  be a graph of type (6) or (7). Then  $\Gamma$  is primitive, by Remark 2.5, and so by [3, Theorem 1.6A(v)],  $\Gamma$  is non-normal. If  $\Gamma$  is of type (8), then by Remark 2.5 and [3, Theorem 1.6A(i)],  $\Gamma$  is non-normal. In the cases (4) and (10), since  $\text{PSL}(2, 11)$  and  $\text{PGL}(2, 11)$  are not solvable, by Corollary 2.3,  $\Gamma$  is non-normal.

Finally, we examine the remaining graphs  $\Gamma$  of types (2), (3) and (9). First note that if  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong S_3$ , then  $\Gamma$  is normal. Hence  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \not\cong S_3$ . In the cases (3) and (9),  $p = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$  is a prime. If  $p = 3$ , then  $n = q = 2$  and  $\text{PFL}(n, q) \cong \text{PSL}(n, q) \cong S_3$ , contradicting the non-normality of  $\Gamma$ . Hence  $p > 3$ . Since  $S_p$  has no normal subgroup of order  $p$ , the graph (2) is non-normal. In the cases (3) and (9),  $p = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$  is a prime

and the assumption  $p > 3$  implies that  $(n, q) \neq (2, 2)$ . Since  $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$  is a prime, we conclude that  $(n, q) \neq (2, 2), (2, 3)$ . Since  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  and  $\text{PSL}(n, q)$  are solvable only when  $(n, q) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3)\}$ , and  $\text{PGL}(n, q)$  and  $\text{PSL}(n, q)$  are isomorphic to a normal subgroup of  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(n, q)$  and  $\text{P}\Sigma\text{L}(n, q)$ , respectively, we conclude that  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(n, q)$  and  $\text{P}\Sigma\text{L}$  are not solvable and so the graphs of type (3) and (9) are non-normal, by Corollary 2.3. We have showed that in the case  $p > 3$ , the graphs (2), (3) and (9) are non-normal, which completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arezoomand, B. Taeri, *Normality of 2-Cayley digraphs*, Discrete Math., **338** (2015), 41–47.
- [2] M. Arezoomand, B. Taeri, *A classification of finite groups with integral bi-Cayley graphs*, Trans. Comb., **4(4)** (2015), 55–61.
- [3] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [4] Y. Q. Feng, Z. P. Lu, M. Y. Xu, *Automorphism groups of Cayley digraphs*, Applications of Group Theory to Combinatorics, CRC Press/Balkema 2008, 13–25.
- [5] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Welsey Publishing Company, 1969.
- [6] H. Koike, I. Kovács, *Isomorphic tetravalent cyclic Haar graphs*, Ars Math. Contemp., **7** (2014), 215–235.
- [7] I. Kovács, B. Kuzman, A. Malnič, *On non-normal arc transitive 4-valent dihedrants*, Acta Math. Sin. Eng. Ser. **26** (2010), 1485–1498.
- [8] I. Kovács, B. Kuzman, A. Malnič, S. Wilson, *Characterization of edge-transitive 4-valent bicirculants*, J. Graph Theory, **69** (2012), 441–463.
- [9] A. Malnič, D. Marušič, P. Šparl, B. Frelih, *Symmetry structure of bicirculants*, Discrete Math., **307** (2007), 409–414.
- [10] T. Pisanski, *A classification of cubic bicirculants*, Discrete Math., **307** (2007), 567–578.
- [11] M. J. de Resmini, D. Jungnickel, *Strongly regular semi-Cayley graphs*, J. Algebraic Combin., **1** (1992), 217–228.
- [12] G. Sabidussi, *Vertex-transitive graphs*, Monatsh. Math., **68** (1964), 426–438.
- [13] M. Y. Xu, *Automorphism groups and isomorphisms of Cayley digraphs*, Discrete Math., **182** (1998), 309–319.
- [14] J. X. Zhou, Y. Q. Feng, *The automorphisms of bi-Cayley graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, **116** (2016), 504–532.

(received 19.11.2017; in revised form 25.06.2018; available online 21.07.2018)

University of Larestan, Larestan, 74317-16137, Iran

*E-mail:* arezoomand@lar.ac.ir, arezoomandmajid@gmail.com