

ON THE SPECTRA OF THE OPERATOR  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  MAPPING IN  
 $(w_\infty(\lambda))_a$  AND  $(w_0(\lambda))_a$  WHERE  $\lambda$  IS A NONDECREASING  
EXPONENTIALLY BOUNDED SEQUENCE

Bruno de Malafosse and Eberhard Malkowsky

**Abstract.** Given any sequence  $a = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of positive real numbers and any set  $E$  of complex sequences, we write  $E_a$  for the set of all sequences  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $x/a = (x_n/a_n)_{n \geq 1} \in E$ . We denote by  $W_a(\lambda) = (w_\infty(\lambda))_a$  and  $W_a^0(\lambda) = (w_0(\lambda))_a$  the sets of all sequences  $x$  such that  $\sup_n (\lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|/a_k) < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|/a_k) = 0$ , where  $\lambda$  is a nondecreasing exponentially bounded sequence. In this paper we recall some properties of the Banach algebras  $(W_a(\lambda), W_a(\lambda))$ , and  $(W_a^0(\lambda), W_a^0(\lambda))$ , where  $a$  is a positive sequence. We then consider the operator  $\Delta_\rho$ , defined by  $[\Delta_\rho x]_n = x_n - \rho_{n-1}x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  with the convention  $x_0, \rho_0 = 0$ , and we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the operator  $\Delta_\rho : E \rightarrow E$  to be bijective, for  $E = w_0(\lambda)$ , or  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ . Then we consider the generalized operator of the first difference  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$ , where  $\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}$  are two convergent sequences, and defined by  $[B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})x]_n = r_n x_n + s_{n-1} x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  with the convention  $x_0, s_0 = 0$ . Then we deal with the operator  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  mapping in either of the sets  $W_a(\lambda)$ , or  $W_a^0(\lambda)$ . We then apply the previous results to explicitly calculate the spectrum of  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  over each of the spaces  $E_a$ , where  $E = w_0(\lambda)$ , or  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ . Finally we give a characterization of the identity  $(W_a(\lambda))_{B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})} = W_b(\lambda)$ .

## 1. Preliminary results

Let  $A = (\mathbf{a}_{nk})_{n,k \geq 1}$  be an infinite complex matrix and consider the complex sequence  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . We write  $Ax = (A_n(x))_{n \geq 1}$  with  $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_{nk} x_k$  whenever the series are convergent for all  $n \geq 1$ . Throughout this paper we use the convention that any term with a subscript less than 1 is equal to naught. Let  $\omega$  denote the set of all complex sequences. We write  $\varphi, c_0, c$  and  $\ell_\infty$  for the sets of all finite, null, convergent and bounded sequences respectively. For any given subsets  $E$  and  $F$  of  $\omega$ , we say that the operator represented by the infinite matrix  $A = (\mathbf{a}_{nk})_{n,k \geq 1}$  maps  $E$  into  $F$  and

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40C05, 46A45

Keywords and phrases: Sequence space; BK space; Banach algebra; bounded linear operator; spectrum of an operator.

denote this by  $A \in (E, F)$ , see [8], if the series  $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k$  are convergent for all  $n \geq 1$  and for all  $x \in E$ , and  $Ax \in F$  for all  $x \in E$ . If  $F$  is a subset of  $\omega$ , we denote the so-called matrix domain of  $A$  in  $F$  by  $F_A = \{x \in \omega : y = Ax \in F\}$ . For any nonzero sequence  $a$  we write  $E_a$  for the set of all sequences  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $x/a = (x_n/a_n)_{n \geq 1} \in E$ . Let  $E \subset \omega$  be a *Banach space*, with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_E$ . By  $B(E)$  we denote the set of all bounded linear operators, mapping  $E$  into itself, with the operator norm  $\|L\|_{B(E)}^* = \sup_{x \neq 0} (\|Lx\|_E / \|x\|_E)$  for all  $L \in B(E)$ . It is well known that  $B(E)$  is a Banach algebra with the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_{B(E)}^*$ .

A Banach space  $E \subset \omega$  is a BK space if the projections  $P_n : x \mapsto x_n$  from  $E$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  are continuous for all  $n$ . We denote by  $e^{(k)}$  the sequence defined by  $e^{(k)} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ , where 1 is in the  $k$ -th position and we write  $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ . A BK space  $E \supset \varphi$  is said to have AK if  $x = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^p x_k e^{(k)}$  for every sequence  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in E$ . It is well known that if  $E$  has AK then  $B(E) = (E, E)$ . If  $E$  is a BK space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_E$ , then  $(E, E) \subset B(E)$ . Indeed by [19, Theorem 4.2.8 p. 57], since  $E$  is a BK space, the matrix map  $A \in (E, E)$  is continuous and there is  $M > 0$  such that  $\|Ax\|_E \leq M\|x\|_E$  for all  $x \in E$ . By  $U$  and  $U^+$  we denote the sets of all nonzero sequences and all positive sequences, respectively. For  $a \in U^+$  we write  $s_a = (\ell_\infty)_a$ ,  $s_a^0 = (c_0)_a$ , and  $s_a^{(c)} = c_a$ . Each of the sets  $s_a$ ,  $s_a^0$ , and  $s_a^{(c)}$  is a BK space with the norm  $\|x\|_{s_a} = \sup_n (|x_n|/a_n)$ . Recall that for  $a, b \in U^+$ , we have  $s_a = s_b$  if and only if there are  $k_1$  and  $k_2 > 0$  such that  $k_1 \leq a_n/b_n \leq k_2$  for all  $n$ . We will use the next argument. Since  $s_a \supset s_b$  implies  $k_1 \leq a_n/b_n$  for all  $n$ , we deduce that if  $a/b \in c$  and  $s_a \supset s_b$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n/b_n) > 0$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider the operator  $\Delta_\rho$ , defined by  $[\Delta_\rho x]_n = x_n - \rho_{n-1}x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and characterize the map  $\Delta_\rho : E \rightarrow E$ , for  $E = w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ . In Section 3 we apply these results to deal with the operator represented by a double band matrix  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  on  $E_a$ , where  $E$  is either of the spaces  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ . In Section 4 we explicitly calculate the spectrum of  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  over the spaces  $E_a$ , where  $E$  is either of the spaces  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ . Finally we characterize the identity  $(W_a(\lambda))_{B(r,s)} = W_b(\lambda)$ .

## 2. On the band matrix $\Delta_\rho$ considered as an operator in each of the spaces $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or $w_0(\lambda)$

In this section we give necessary and sufficient conditions on the sequence  $\rho = (\rho_n)_{n \geq 1}$  for  $\Delta_\rho$  to be bijective from  $E$  to itself, where  $E$  is either of the spaces  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ .

For any given sequence  $\rho = (\rho_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \omega$  we consider the operator  $\Delta_\rho$  defined by  $[\Delta_\rho x]_n = x_n - \rho_{n-1}x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This operator is represented by the infinite

matrix

$$\Delta_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ -\rho_1 & 1 & & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\ & & -\rho_{n-1} & 1 & & \\ 0 & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & & \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that a matrix  $T = (t_{nk})_{n,k \geq 1}$  is a *triangle* if  $t_{nk} = 0$  for  $k > n$  and  $t_{nn} \neq 0$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

### 2.1 The Banach algebras $(w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$ and $(w_0(\lambda), w_0(\lambda))$

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U^+$ . We define by  $C(\lambda)$  the triangle whose the nonzero entries are defined by  $[C(\lambda)]_{nk} = 1/\lambda_n$  for  $k \leq n$  and for all  $n$ . It is well known that its inverse is the triangular band matrix  $\Delta(\lambda)$  whose nonzero entries are given by  $[\Delta(\lambda)]_{n,n-1} = -\lambda_{n-1}$  and  $[\Delta(\lambda)]_{nn} = \lambda_n$ , (see for instance [15]). For  $\lambda = e$  we write  $C(\lambda) = \Sigma$  and  $\Delta(\lambda) = \Delta$ , and  $\Delta$  is called the *operator of the first difference*. For  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U^+$  we consider the sets of *strongly bounded and summable sequences*, respectively, that is,

$$w_\infty(\lambda) = \left\{ x \in \omega : \|x\|_{w_\infty(\lambda)} = \sup_n \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \right) < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$w_0(\lambda) = \left\{ x \in \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| = 0 \right\}.$$

Notice that  $x \in w_\infty(\lambda)$  means  $C(\lambda)|x| \in \ell_\infty$ , where  $|x| = (|x_k|)_{k \geq 1}$ , and  $x \in w_0(\lambda)$  means  $C(\lambda)|x| \in c_0$ . These sets were studied by Malkowsky, with the concept of *exponentially bounded sequences*, see [16]. Recall that Maddox [7], defined and studied the sets  $w_\infty(\lambda) = w_\infty$  and  $w_0(\lambda) = w_0$  where  $\lambda_n = n$  for all  $n$ .

Recall that a non-decreasing sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U^+$  of positive reals tending to infinity is called *exponentially bounded* if there is an integer  $m \geq 2$  such that for all non-negative integers  $\nu$  there is at least one term  $\lambda_n \in I_m^{(\nu)} = [m^\nu, m^{\nu+1} - 1]$ . It was shown (cf. [16, Lemma 1]) that a non-decreasing sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is *exponentially bounded* if and only if there are reals  $s \leq t$  such that for some subsequence  $(\lambda_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$  we have

$$0 < s \leq \frac{\lambda_{n_i}}{\lambda_{n_{i+1}}} \leq t < 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots;$$

such a sequence is called an *associated subsequence*. It can easily be shown that any sequence  $\lambda = (n^\xi)_{n \geq 1}$  with  $\xi > 0$  is exponentially bounded. Indeed, for  $n_i = 2^i$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2^i/2^{i+1})^\xi = 1/2^\xi \in ]0, 1[$ . In [17] it was shown that if  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U^+$  is *exponentially bounded* then the class  $(w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$  is a *Banach algebra* with the norm  $\|A\|_{(w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))} = \sup_{x \neq 0} (\|Ax\|_{w_\infty(\lambda)} / \|x\|_{w_\infty(\lambda)})$ . When  $\lambda$  is an exponentially bounded sequence we obtain similar results on the Banach algebra

$(w_0(\lambda), w_0(\lambda))$  with the norm  $\|A\|_{(w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))}$ . In the following we will write  $a_n^\bullet = a_{n-1}/a_n$  for all  $n$ , with  $a_0 = 1$ , and  $a^\bullet = (a_n^\bullet)_{n \geq 1}$  for  $a \in U^+$ . We also use the sets  $\Gamma = \{a \in U^+ : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet < 1\}$  and  $\hat{\Gamma} = \{a \in U^+ : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet < 1\}$ . For any given sequence  $a = (a_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U^+$ , we write  $D_a$  for the diagonal matrix defined by  $[D_a]_{nn} = a_n$  for all  $n$ . In the following we also use the notation  $D_a E = E_a$ . Here we consider the set  $W_a(\lambda) = D_a w_\infty(\lambda)$ , for  $a, \lambda \in U^+$ . We have

$$W_a(\lambda) = \left\{ x \in \omega : \|x\|_{W_a(\lambda)} = \sup_n \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|x_k|}{a_k} \right) < \infty \right\}.$$

It can easily be seen that  $W_a(\lambda) = (w_\infty(\lambda))_a$  is a BK space with the norm the  $\|\cdot\|_{W_a(\lambda)}$ . Similarly we define  $W_a^0(\lambda) = (w_0(\lambda))_a$ . We write  $W_{(r^n)_{n \geq 1}}(\lambda) = W_r(\lambda)$  for any  $r > 0$ . When  $\lambda_n = n$  we put  $W_a = W_a(\lambda)$  and  $W_a^0 = W_a^0(\lambda)$  for  $a \in U^+$ , see [15]. We then have  $W_a = \{x : \|x\|_{W_a} = \sup_n (n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|/a_k) < \infty\}$ .

Now we recall a result, where we have  $\Delta_\rho \in (w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$ , if  $\rho, \lambda^\bullet \in \ell_\infty$ .

LEMMA 2.1 ([14, Theorem 3.12, p. 210]). *Let  $\lambda \in U^+$  be a non-decreasing exponentially bounded sequence, and let  $E$  be either of the sets  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ . Assume  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\rho_n| < 1/\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet$ . Then for any given  $b \in E$  the equation  $\Delta_\rho x = b$  has a unique solution in  $E$ , which is determined by  $x_1 = b_1$  and  $x_n = b_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \rho_j \right) b_k$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .*

As an immediate consequence of the preceding result we obtain the next lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume that  $\lambda \in U^+$  is a non-decreasing exponentially bounded sequence, and assume  $\rho, \lambda^\bullet \in c$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\rho_n| \lambda_n^\bullet) < 1$ , then  $\Delta_\rho$  is bijective from  $E$  to itself, where  $E$  is either of the sets  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ .*

## 2.2 Necessary conditions for $\Delta_\rho$ to be bijective from $E$ to $E$ , where $E = w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or $w_0(\lambda)$

We need the next lemmas.

LEMMA 2.3 ([12, Lemma 4]). *Let  $u \in U$ , and assume  $(u_n/u_{n-1})_{n \geq 2} \in c$ . Then  $u \in \ell_\infty$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n/u_{n-1}| \leq 1$ .*

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $\lambda \in U^+$ . Then we have:*

(i) *Assume  $1/\lambda \in \ell_\infty$ . Then  $A \in (w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$  implies  $Ae^{(1)} \in s_\lambda$ .*

(ii) *Assume  $1/\lambda \in c_0$ . Then  $A \in (w_0(\lambda), w_0(\lambda))$  implies  $Ae^{(1)} \in s_\lambda^0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) The proof comes from the fact that  $w_\infty(\lambda) \subset s_\lambda$ . Indeed  $x \in w_\infty(\lambda)$  implies that there is  $K > 0$  such that  $\lambda_n^{-1} |x_n| \leq \lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \leq K$  for all  $n$ , and  $x \in s_\lambda$ . Now we have  $e^{(1)} \in w_\infty(\lambda)$ , since  $1/\lambda \in \ell_\infty$ . We conclude  $A \in (w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$  implies  $Ae^{(1)} \in w_\infty(\lambda)$  and  $Ae^{(1)} \in s_\lambda$ . (ii) It can easily be shown that  $w_0(\lambda) \subset s_\lambda^0$ . Now we have  $e^{(1)} \in w_0(\lambda)$ , since  $1/\lambda \in c_0$ . We conclude  $A \in (w_0(\lambda), w_0(\lambda))$  implies  $Ae^{(1)} \in w_0(\lambda)$  and  $Ae^{(1)} \in s_\lambda^0$ .  $\square$



$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s$ . We use the following notations. First we have  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}) = D_{\tilde{r}} \Delta_\rho$ , with  $\rho_{n-1} = -s_{n-1}/r_n$ , for  $n \geq 2$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\rho_n| = |s/r|$ . Now consider the next inequalities

$$|r| > |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet, \quad (4)$$

$$|r| \geq |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet. \quad (5)$$

### 3.1 A sufficient condition for $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$ to be bijective from $E$ to itself, where $E$ is either of the sets $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or $w_0(\lambda)$

LEMMA 3.1. *If the condition in (4) holds, then the operator  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  is bijective from  $E$  to itself, where  $E$  is either of the sets  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}) \in (E, E)$  in each of the cases  $E = w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ . We prove the lemma for  $E = w_\infty(\lambda)$ . The proof for  $w_0(\lambda)$  is similar. Let  $x \in w_\infty(\lambda)$ . Since  $\tilde{r}, \tilde{s} \in c \subset \ell_\infty$ , and  $\lambda$  is non-decreasing, there is  $C > 0$  such that  $\sup_k (|r_k|, |s_k|) = C$ , and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^n |[B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})x]_k| \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| + \frac{1}{\lambda_{n-1}} \lambda_n^\bullet \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |x_k| \right) \leq C' \|x\|_{w_\infty(\lambda)}$$

for some  $C' > 0$ , and so  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})x \in w_\infty(\lambda)$ . Hence  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}) \in (w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$ .

Now we show  $[B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})]^{-1} \in (w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$ . By the condition in (4) we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\rho_n| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet = \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet < 1$ , and by Lemma 2.2 the operator represented by  $\Delta_\rho$  is bijective from  $w_\infty(\lambda)$  to itself. Since  $r_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = r \neq 0$ , there are  $k_1, k_2 > 0$  such that  $k_1 \leq |r_n| \leq k_2$  for all  $n$ , and it can easily be shown the operator  $D_{\tilde{r}}$  is bijective from  $E$  to itself. Finally,  $D_{\tilde{r}} \Delta_\rho$  is bijective from  $E$  to itself.  $\square$

REMARK 3.2. Let  $E$  be either of the sets  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ . If there is an integer  $k$  for which  $r_k = 0$ , then  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}) \in (E, E)$  is not bijective. Indeed let  $k_0$  be the smallest integer for which  $r_{k_0} = 0$ , and consider the equation

$$B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})x = e^{(k_0)}. \quad (6)$$

It can easily be seen that if  $x$  satisfies the previous equation, then  $x_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, k_0 - 1$ , and  $s_{k_0-1}x_{k_0-1} = 1$ , which is a contradiction, and equation (6) has no solution in  $E$ . Since  $e^{(k_0)} \in E$ , we conclude that  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  is not surjective.

### 3.2 A necessary condition for $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$ to be bijective

From the previous results we deduce the following.

LEMMA 3.3. *If the operator represented by  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  is bijective from  $E$  to itself, where  $E$  is either of the sets  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ , then the condition in (5) holds.*

*Proof.* First we consider the case  $E = w_\infty(\lambda)$ . Since  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  is bijective from  $w_\infty(\lambda)$  to itself, we have  $(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}))^{-1} = \Delta_\rho^{-1} D_{1/\tilde{r}} \in (w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$ . As we have seen above  $D_{\tilde{r}}$  is bijective from  $w_\infty(\lambda)$  to  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , and  $\Delta_\rho^{-1} = (B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}))^{-1} D_{\tilde{r}} \in$

$(w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$ , is also bijective from  $w_\infty(\lambda)$  to  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ . Since  $\lambda \in U^+$  is a non-decreasing sequence we have  $1/\lambda \in \ell_\infty$ . Then by Lemma 2.5 the condition  $(\rho_{n-1}\lambda_n^\bullet)_{n \geq 1} \in c$  implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\rho_{n-1}| \lambda_n^\bullet) = \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet \leq 1, \quad (7)$$

and the condition in (5) holds.

Now we consider the case  $E = w_0(\lambda)$ . By similar arguments as those above, the operator  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  is bijective and  $\Delta_\rho^{-1} = (B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}))^{-1} D_{\tilde{r}} \in (w_0(\lambda), w_0(\lambda))$  is also bijective from  $w_0(\lambda)$  to  $w_0(\lambda)$ . Since  $\lambda \in U^+$  tends to infinity we have  $1/\lambda \in c_0 \subset \ell_\infty$ , and  $(\rho_{n-1}\lambda_n^\bullet)_{n \geq 1} \in c$ , by Lemma 2.5 we conclude that the condition in (7) holds.  $\square$

#### 4. Applications

In this section we apply the results obtained in the previous sections to explicitly calculate the spectrum of the operator  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  on  $E_a$ , where  $E$  is either of the sets  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ .

##### 4.1 An application to the spectrum of the operator $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$ on $E_a$ , where $E$ is either of the sets $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or $w_0(\lambda)$

In this section we focus our study on the spectrum of the operator  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  on  $E_a$ , where  $E$  is either of the sets  $w_\infty(\lambda)$ , or  $w_0(\lambda)$ . Let  $E$  be a BK space and  $A$  be an operator mapping  $E$  to itself, (note that  $A$  is continuous since  $E$  is a BK space). We denote by  $\sigma(A, E)$  the set of all complex numbers  $\alpha$  such that  $A - \alpha I$  considered as an operator from  $E$  to itself is not invertible. Then we write  $\rho(A, E) = [\sigma(A, E)]^c$  for the resolvent set, which is the set of all complex numbers  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha I - A$  considered as an operator from  $E$  to itself is invertible. Recall that the resolvent set of a linear operator on  $E$  is an open subset of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . We use the notation  $\overline{D}(\alpha_0, r) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : |\alpha - \alpha_0| \leq r\}$  for  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $r > 0$ .

Recall that the spectrum and the fine spectrum of the linear operators defined by infinite matrices over certain sequence spaces have been studied by many authors. We only give a short survey of those studies. In [6, 13] are given some results on the spectral theory of unbounded operators, that are used in the theory of the sum of operators (cf. [4]). Recently the fine spectra of the operator of the first difference over the sequence spaces  $\ell_p$  and  $bv_p$ , were studied in [1], where  $bv_p$  is the space of all sequences of  $p$ -bounded variation, with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . In [3] there is a study on the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator  $B(r, s)$  on each of the sets  $\ell_p$  and  $bv_p$ . The fine spectrum of the operator represented by the triple band matrix  $B(r, s, t)$  over the spaces  $\ell_p$  and  $bv_p$ , ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) was studied in [5]. In [18] Srivastava and Kumar dealt with the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator  $\Delta_v$  over  $\ell_1$ , where  $\Delta_v$  is the triangle whose the nonzero entries are defined by  $(\Delta_v)_{nm} = v_n$  and  $(\Delta_v)_{n+1, n} = -v_n$ . Recently Akhmedov and El-Sabrawy [2] determined the spectrum of the generalized difference operator  $\Delta_{a,b}$  defined as a double band matrix mapping

in  $c$ . In [12] there is a study on the spectrum of  $\Delta$  on the space  $W_a$  for  $a^\bullet \in \ell_\infty$  and an application to matrix transformations mapping in  $(W_a)_{(\Delta - \alpha I)^h}$  for  $h \in \mathbb{C}$ . In [11] there is a study of the spectrum of the operator  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  on the sets  $E_a$ , where  $E$  is any of the symbols  $s$ ,  $s^0$ ,  $s^{(c)}$ ,  $\ell_p$ ,  $W^0$ , or  $W$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $a^\bullet \in c$ . We also obtain the spectrum of  $B(r, s)$  over the space  $(bv_p)_a = ((\ell_p)_\Delta)_a$  of all sequences of  $a$ ,  $p$ -bounded variation of order 1 (cf. [10]).

We state the main result where we write  $\mathcal{R} = \{r_k : k \geq 1\}$ . We still assume  $\lambda \in U^+$  is a non-decreasing exponentially bounded sequence that satisfies  $\lambda^\bullet \in c$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *We have*

$$\sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), w_\infty(\lambda)) = \sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), w_0(\lambda)) = \overline{D}\left(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet\right) \cup \mathcal{R}.$$

*Proof.* First we consider the case  $E = w_\infty(\lambda)$ . In Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, we replace  $\tilde{r}$  by the sequence  $(r_k - \alpha)_{k \geq 1}$  with  $\alpha \neq r_k$  for all  $k$ . We have that  $|r - \alpha| > |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet$  implies  $\alpha \in \rho(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), w_\infty(\lambda))$  and  $\alpha \in \rho(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), w_\infty(\lambda))$  implies  $|r - \alpha| \geq |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet$ . Since we have  $\sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), w_\infty(\lambda)) = [\rho(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), w_\infty(\lambda))]^c$  and using Remark 3.2 we have  $r_k \in \sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), w_\infty(\lambda))$  for all  $k$ , we conclude

$$D\left(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet\right) \cup \mathcal{R} \subset \sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), w_\infty(\lambda)) \subset \overline{D}\left(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet\right) \cup \mathcal{R}.$$

Now since  $\sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), w_\infty(\lambda))$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ , it is equal to the smallest closed set containing  $\overline{D}\left(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet\right) \cup \mathcal{R}$ , which itself is closed. The case  $E = w_0(\lambda)$  can be shown using similar arguments. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In this part we use the next elementary lemma.

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $a, b \in U^+$ , and  $E, F \subset \omega$ . Then  $A \in (E_a, F_b)$  if and only if  $D_{1/b}AD_a \in (E, F)$ .*

We immediately deduce the following.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $a \in U^+$  and assume  $a^\bullet \in c$ . We have*

$$\sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), W_a(\lambda)) = \sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), W_a^0(\lambda)) = \overline{D}\left(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet)\right) \cup \mathcal{R}. \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* First we consider the case of the spectrum of  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  over  $W_a(\lambda)$ . We have  $\alpha \in \rho(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), W_a(\lambda))$  if and only if  $\alpha I - B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}) \in (W_a(\lambda), W_a(\lambda))$ , is bijective. But we have  $D_{1/a}(\alpha I - B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}))D_a = \alpha I - D_{1/a}B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})D_a$ , and so  $\alpha \in \rho(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), W_a(\lambda))$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \rho(D_{1/a}B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})D_a, w_\infty(\lambda))$ . We have  $D_{1/a}B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})D_a = B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}')$ , with  $s'_{n-1} = s_{n-1}a_n^\bullet$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Then we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s'_{n-1} = s \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet$  and we obtain (8) by Theorem 4.1 with  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  replaced by  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}')$ .

The case of the spectrum of  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  over  $W_a^0(\lambda)$  can be shown similarly. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**REMARK 4.4.** Notice that if  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ , then  $W_a(\lambda) = s_{a\lambda}$ . Indeed, the condition  $x \in W_a(\lambda)$ , means  $C(\lambda)D_{1/a}|x| \in \ell_\infty$ , and is equivalent to  $|x| \in D_a\Delta(\lambda)\ell_\infty$ . But by [9, Proposition 2, p. 159], the condition  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  implies  $\Delta(\lambda)\ell_\infty = s_\lambda$ , and  $W_a(\lambda) = s_{a\lambda}$ . We conclude  $\sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), W_a(\lambda)) = \sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), s_{a\lambda}) = \overline{D}\left(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet)\right) \cup \mathcal{R}$ .



COROLLARY 4.5. *Let  $a \in U^+$  and assume  $\rho$  and  $a^\bullet \in c$ . Then we have*

$$\sigma(\Delta_\rho, W_a(\lambda)) = \sigma(\Delta_\rho, W_a^0(\lambda)) = \overline{D}\left(1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\rho_n| a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet)\right).$$

REMARK 4.6. Under the conditions of Theorem 4.3 we have

$$\sigma(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), W_a(\lambda)) = \overline{D}\left(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet)\right) \cup \left\{r_k : |r - r_k| > |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet)\right\}.$$

REMARK 4.7. Under the conditions of Theorem 4.3 we have  $(W_a(\lambda))_{B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})} = W_a(\lambda)$  if and only if  $0 \in \rho(B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}), W_a(\lambda))$ , that is,  $|s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet) < |r|$ .

If  $r_n = r$  and  $s_n = s$  for all  $n$ , with  $r, s \neq 0$ , then we write  $B(r, s)$  for  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$ . The matrix  $\Delta = B(1, -1)$  is called the operator of the first difference.

COROLLARY 4.8. *Let  $a \in U^+$  and assume  $a^\bullet \in c$ . Then we have:*

$$(i) \sigma(B(r, s), W_a(\lambda)) = \sigma(B(r, s), W_a^0(\lambda)) = \overline{D}(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet)).$$

$$(ii) \sigma(B(r, s), w_\infty(\lambda)) = \sigma(B(r, s), w_0(\lambda)) = \overline{D}(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet).$$

$$(iii) \sigma(\Delta, W_a(\lambda)) = \sigma(\Delta, W_a^0(\lambda)) = \overline{D}(1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet)).$$

$$(iv) \sigma(\Delta, w_\infty(\lambda)) = \sigma(\Delta, w_0(\lambda)) = \overline{D}(1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet).$$

Finally when  $\lambda_n = n$  for all  $n$ , we obtain the next proposition which is a direct consequence of [12, Theorem 6].

PROPOSITION 4.9. *Let  $a \in U^+$  and assume  $a^\bullet \in \ell_\infty$ . Then we have  $\sigma(\Delta, W_a) = \sigma(\Delta, W_a^0) = \overline{D}(1, \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet)$ .*

This proposition is an extension of Theorem 4.3 in a certain sense. Indeed, if we define the sequence  $a \in U^+$  by  $a_{2n} = 1$  and  $a_{2n+1} = 2$  for all  $n$ , then we trivially have  $a^\bullet \in \ell_\infty \setminus c$ , and  $\sigma(\Delta, W_a) = \sigma(\Delta, W_a^0) = \overline{D}(1, \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet) = \overline{D}(1, 2)$ .

In this way we obtain the next corollaries.

COROLLARY 4.10. *Let  $a \in U^+$  and assume  $a^\bullet \in c$ . Then we have:*

$$(i) \sigma(B(r, s), W_a) = \sigma(B(r, s), W_a^0) = \overline{D}(r, |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet).$$

$$(ii) \sigma(B(r, s), w_\infty) = \sigma(B(r, s), w_0) = \overline{D}(r, |s|).$$

$$(iii) \sigma(\Delta, W_a) = \sigma(\Delta, W_a^0) = \overline{D}(1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet).$$

$$(iv) \sigma(\Delta, w_\infty) = \sigma(\Delta, w_0) = \overline{D}(1, 1).$$

COROLLARY 4.11. *Let  $R > 0$ . Then we have:*

$$(i) \sigma(B(r, s), W_R) = \sigma(B(r, s), W_R^0) = \overline{D}(r, |s|/R).$$

$$(ii) \sigma(\Delta, W_R) = \sigma(\Delta, W_R^0) = \overline{D}(1, 1/R).$$

## 4.2 Applications to equations of the form $(W_a(\lambda))_{B(r,s)} = W_b(\lambda)$

### 4.2.1 On the identity $(W_a(\lambda))_{B(r,s)} = W_b(\lambda)$ .

In this section, assuming that  $r, s \neq 0$ , and  $a, b \in U^+$ , we characterize the next statement. The condition that  $\sup_n (\lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|/b_k) < \infty$  holds if and only if  $\sup_n (\lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n |rx_k + sx_{k-1}|/a_k) < \infty$  for all  $x$  can be written in the form

$$(W_a(\lambda))_{B(r,s)} = W_b(\lambda). \quad (9)$$

To simplify we focus on identity (9), but we obtain similar results for the identity  $(W_a^0(\lambda))_{B(r,s)} = W_b^0(\lambda)$ . First we need the next lemma.

LEMMA 4.12. *Let  $a, b \in U^+$ . Then  $W_a(\lambda) = W_b(\lambda)$  if and only if  $s_a = s_b$ .*

*Proof.* We show that  $W_a(\lambda) \subset W_b(\lambda)$  if and only if  $s_a \subset s_b$ . Necessity. Let  $x \in W_a(\lambda)$ . Then  $y = C(\lambda) D_{1/a} |x| \in \ell_\infty$  and  $|x| = D_a \Delta(\lambda) y$ . So the condition  $x \in W_b(\lambda)$  means  $C(\lambda) D_{1/b} |x| = C(\lambda) D_{1/b} D_a \Delta(\lambda) y \in \ell_\infty$  for all  $y \in \ell_\infty$ . So the inclusion  $W_a(\lambda) \subset W_b(\lambda)$  is equivalent to  $C(\lambda) D_{a/b} \Delta(\lambda) \in (\ell_\infty, \ell_\infty)$  and to

$$\sup_n \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{a_k}{b_k} - \frac{a_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right| \lambda_k + \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right) < \infty,$$

see [13, Lemma 3.2, p. 596]. This implies  $\sup_n (a_n/b_n) < \infty$  and  $s_a \subset s_b$ . In the same way we obtain that  $W_b(\lambda) \subset W_a(\lambda)$  implies  $s_b \subset s_a$ . Conversely, we assume  $s_a \subset s_b$ . Let  $x \in W_a(\lambda)$ . Since  $a/b \in s_1$  there is  $K > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|x_k|}{b_k} \leq \left( \sup_k \frac{a_k}{b_k} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|x_k|}{a_k} \right) \leq K \text{ for all } n.$$

So we have shown  $x \in W_b(\lambda)$  and  $W_a(\lambda) \subset W_b(\lambda)$ . We conclude  $s_a \subset s_b$  if and only if  $W_a(\lambda) \subset W_b(\lambda)$ . Similarly we obtain  $W_a(\lambda) \supset W_b(\lambda)$  if and only if  $s_a \supset s_b$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.13. *Let  $a, b \in U^+$  and  $r, s \neq 0$ . Assume  $b/a$  and  $a^\bullet \in c$ . Then the identity in (9) holds if and only if*

$$(i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n/a_n) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet) < |r/s|.$$

*Proof.* First we show the necessity of the conditions (i) and (ii). The identity in (9) means that  $B(r, s)$  is bijective from  $W_b(\lambda)$  to  $W_a(\lambda)$ . Then the operator  $D_{1/a} B(r, s) D_b \in (w_\infty(\lambda), w_\infty(\lambda))$  is bijective. But we have  $D_{1/a} B(r, s) D_b = B(\tilde{r}', \tilde{s}')$ , where  $r'_n = rb_n/a_n$ , and  $s'_n = sb_n/a_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ , and since each of the sequences  $(r'_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(s'_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , where  $s'_n = s(b_n/a_n) a_{n+1}^\bullet$ , converges, we may apply Theorem 4.3. So we have  $0 \notin \sigma(D_{1/a} B(r, s) D_b, w_\infty(\lambda))$  implies

$$|r| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} > |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_{n+1}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet = |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{b_n}{a_n} a_{n+1}^\bullet \right) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet.$$

But the conditions  $b/a$  and  $a^\bullet \in c$  imply

$$|r| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} > |s| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet, \quad \text{and} \quad |r| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} \left( 1 - \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet) \right) > 0.$$

Since  $|r| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n/a_n) > 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet) < |r/s|$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} = L > 0$ , and (i) and (ii) hold.

Conversely assume that (i) and (ii) hold. Then (ii) implies  $0 \in \rho(B(r, s), W_a(\lambda))$ , by Corollary 4.5 and  $B(r, s)$  is bijective from  $W_a(\lambda)$  to itself, so we obtain  $(W_a(\lambda))_{B(r, s)} = W_a(\lambda)$ . Then (i) implies  $s_a = s_b$  and by Lemma 4.12 we conclude  $W_a(\lambda) = W_b(\lambda)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 4.14. For instance the equation  $(W_2(\lambda))_{B(r, s)} = W_{(n)_{n \geq 1}}(\lambda)$  has no solution, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n/2^n = 0$ .

EXAMPLE 4.15. The equation  $(W_{R_1}(\lambda))_{B(r, s)} = W_{R_2}(\lambda)$  for  $0 < R_2 \leq R_1$  is equivalent to  $R_1 = R_2$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet < R_1 |r/s|$ . This result comes from Proposition 4.13 where we have  $b/a = ((R_2/R_1)^n)_{n \geq 1} \in c$  and  $a^\bullet \in c$ .

As a direct consequence of the preceding we obtain the next corollary.

COROLLARY 4.16. *Let  $a, b \in U^+$  and assume  $b/a$  and  $a^\bullet \in c$ . Then*

$$(W_a(\lambda))_\Delta = W_b(\lambda) \quad (10)$$

*if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n > 0$  and  $a\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ . Especially, if  $\lambda_n = n$  for all  $n$ , then the identity in (10) holds if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n/b_n) > 0$  and  $a \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ .*

REMARK 4.17. If  $b/a, a^\bullet \in c$ , then the identity  $(W_a)_\Delta = W_b$  implies  $a \notin c$ . Indeed, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n > 0$ , then we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet = 1$  and  $a \notin \widehat{\Gamma}$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ , then we have  $a \notin \widehat{\Gamma}$ , since the condition  $a \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ , implies  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/a_n < \infty$  and  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). In this way it can easily be seen that each of the equations  $(w_\infty)_\Delta = W_{(1/n)_{n \geq 1}}$ , and  $(w_\infty)_\Delta = W_r$  for  $0 < r < 1$ , has no solution.

For  $a = b \in U^+$ , we obtain the next corollary which is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.13.

COROLLARY 4.18. *Let  $a \in U^+$  and assume  $a^\bullet \in c$  and  $r, s \neq 0$ . Then we have:*

(i)  $(W_a(\lambda))_{B(r, s)} = W_a(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n^\bullet \lambda_n^\bullet) < |r/s|$ .

(ii)  $(W_a(\lambda))_{B(r, s)} = W_a$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^\bullet < |r/s|$ .

(iii)  $(w_\infty(\lambda))_{B(r, s)} = w_\infty(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^\bullet < |r/s|$ .

(iv)  $(W_a(\lambda))_\Delta = W_a(\lambda)$  if and only if  $a\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ .

(v)  $(w_\infty(\lambda))_\Delta = w_\infty(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ .

(vi)  $(W_a)_\Delta = W_a$  if and only if  $a \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ .

#### 4.2.2 Some other properties of the identity $(W_a)_\Delta = W_b$

In the next proposition we give a result in which we do not assume  $b/a, a^\bullet \in c$ .

PROPOSITION 4.19. *Let  $a, b \in U^+$ . If the equation  $(W_a)_\Delta = W_b$  holds, then we have*

$$\sup_n \left( \frac{b_n}{na_n} \right) < \infty \quad (11)$$

and

$$\sup_n \left( \frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) < \infty. \quad (12)$$

*Proof.* The condition  $W_b \subset (W_a)_\Delta$  implies  $\Delta \in (W_b, W_a)$ , hence  $D_{1/a}\Delta D_b \in (w_\infty, w_\infty)$ . As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 2.4 we have  $(w_\infty, w_\infty) \subset (\ell_\infty, (\ell_\infty)_{(n)_{n \geq 1}})$ , so  $D_{(1/na_n)_{n \geq 1}}\Delta D_b \in (\ell_\infty, \ell_\infty)$ . Then the nonzero entries of the matrix  $D_{(1/ka_k)_{k \geq 1}}\Delta D_b$  are given by  $[D_{(1/ka_k)_{k \geq 1}}\Delta D_b]_{n, n-1} = -b_{n-1}/na_n$ , for all  $n \geq 2$ , and  $[D_{(1/ka_k)_{k \geq 1}}\Delta D_b]_{nn} = b_n/na_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We conclude  $\sup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{na_n} (b_{n-1} + b_n) \right\} < \infty$  and the condition in (11) holds. Now the condition  $(W_a)_\Delta \subset W_b$  implies  $D_{1/b}\Sigma D_a \in (w_\infty, w_\infty)$  and as we have just seen  $D_{(1/nb_n)_{n \geq 1}}\Sigma D_a \in (\ell_\infty, \ell_\infty)$ . Then (12) holds since the nonzero entries of the triangle  $D_{(1/mb_m)_{m \geq 1}}\Sigma D_a$  are given by  $[D_{(1/mb_m)_{m \geq 1}}\Sigma D_a]_{nk} = a_k/nb_n$ , for all  $k \leq n$  and for all  $n$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 4.20. For  $R_1, R_2 > 0$  we consider the identity

$$(W_{R_1})_\Delta = W_{R_2}. \quad (13)$$

The identity in (13) holds if and only if  $R_1 = R_2 > 1$ . Indeed, by Proposition 4.19 (i) the identity in (13) implies  $\sup_n \left\{ n^{-1} (R_2/R_1)^n \right\} < \infty$  and  $R_2 \leq R_1$ . Then we may apply Proposition 4.13 where  $b/a = ((R_2/R_1)^n)_{n \geq 1} \in c$  and  $a^\bullet \in c$ , and we conclude  $R_1 = R_2 > 1$ . Conversely if  $R_1 = R_2 > 1$  we deduce  $(W_{R_1})_\Delta = W_{R_1} = W_{R_2}$ .

EXAMPLE 4.21. As a direct consequence of Proposition 4.19 it can easily be seen that here is no  $R > 0$  for which  $(W_{(n)_{n \geq 1}})_\Delta = W_R$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. Research of the second author supported by the research projects #174025 of the Serbian Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment, and # 114F104 of Tübitak.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. M. Akhmedov, F. Başar, *On the fine spectrum of the operator  $\Delta$  over the sequence space  $bv_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ )*, Acta Math. Sin. Eng. Ser., **23(10)** (2007), 1757–1768
- [2] A. M. Akhmedov, S. R. El-Shabrawy, *On the fine spectrum of the operator  $\Delta_{a,b}$  over the sequence space  $c$* , Comp. Math. Appl., **61(10)** (2011), 2994–3002.
- [3] H. Bilgiç, H. Furkan, *On the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator  $B(r, s)$  over the sequence spaces  $\ell_p$  and  $bv_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ )*, Nonlinear Anal., **68(3)** (2008), 499–506.
- [4] G. Da Prato, P. Grisvard, *Sommes d'opérateurs linéaires et équations différentielles opérationnelles*, J. Math. Pures Appl. IX Ser., **54** (1975), 305–387.
- [5] H. Furkan, H. Bilgiç, F. Başar, *On the fine spectrum of the operator  $B(r, s, t)$  over the sequence spaces  $\ell_p$  and  $bv_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ )*, Comp. Math. Appl., **60(7)** (2010), 2141–2152.

- [6] R. Labbas, B. de Malafosse, *An application of the sum of linear operators in infinite matrix theory*, Comm. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara, **46** (1997), 191–210.
- [7] I. J. Maddox, *On Kuttner's theorem*, J. London Math. Soc., **43** (1968), 285–290.
- [8] I. J. Maddox, *Infinite matrices of operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1980.
- [9] B. de Malafosse, *Sets of sequences that are strongly  $\tau$ -bounded and matrix transformations between these sets*, Demonstratio Math **36(1)** (2003), 155–171.
- [10] B. de Malafosse, *On the set of  $\alpha$ ,  $p$ -bounded variation of order  $h$* , Tamkang J. Math., **38(2)** (2007), 121–137.
- [11] B. de Malafosse, *On some Banach algebras and applications to the spectra of the operator  $B(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$  mapping in new sequence spaces*, Pure Appl. Math. Letters, **2** (2014), 7–18.
- [12] B. de Malafosse, *On the spectra of the operator of the first difference on the spaces  $W_\tau$  and  $W_\tau^0$  and application to matrix transformations*, Gen. Math. Notes, **22(2)** (2014), 7–21.
- [13] B. de Malafosse, E. Malkowsky, *Matrix transformations between sets of the form  $W_\xi$  and operator generators of analytic semigroups*, Jordan J. Math. Stat., **1(1)** (2008), 51–67.
- [14] B. de Malafosse, E. Malkowsky, *On the Banach algebra  $(w_\infty(\Lambda), w_\infty(\Lambda))$  and applications to the solvability of matrix equations in  $w_\infty(\Lambda)$* , Pub. Math. Debr. Math. J., **84(1-2)** (2014), 197–217.
- [15] B. de Malafosse, V. Rakočević, *Calculations in new sequence spaces and application to statistical convergence*, Cubo A, **12(3)** (2010), 117–132.
- [16] E. Malkowsky, *The continuous duals of the spaces  $c_0(\Lambda)$  and  $c(\Lambda)$  for exponentially bounded sequences  $\Lambda$* , Acta Sci. Math (Szeged), **61** (1995), 241–250.
- [17] E. Malkowsky, *Banach algebras of matrix transformations between spaces of strongly bounded and summable sequences*, Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl., **6(1)** (2011), 241–250.
- [18] P. D. Srivastava, S. Kumar, *Fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator  $\Delta_v$  on sequence space  $l_1$* , Thai J. Math., **8(2)** (2010), 221–233.
- [19] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **85**, 1984.

(received 01.08.2018; in revised form 25.11.2018; available online 26.07.2019)

BP 4006 I.U.T Le Havre 76610 Le Havre. France

*E-mail:* bdemalaf@wanadoo.fr

Državni Univerzitet u Novom Pazaru, Vuka Karadžića bb, 36300 Novi Pazar, Serbia

*E-mail:* Eberhard.Malkowsky@math.uni-giessen.de