

I -SECOND SUBMODULES OF A MODULE

F. Farshadifar and H. Ansari-Toroghy

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring with identity, I an ideal of R , and M be an R -module. In this paper, we will introduce the concept of I -second submodules of M as a generalization of second submodules of M and obtain some related results.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative ring with identity and \mathbb{Z} will denote the ring of integers.

Let M be an R -module. A proper submodule P of M is said to be *prime* if for any $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $rm \in P$, we have $m \in P$ or $r \in (P :_R M)$ [9]. A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be *second* if for each $a \in R$, the homomorphism $N \xrightarrow{a} N$ is either surjective or zero [14].

A proper ideal P of R is *weakly prime* if for $a, b \in R$ with $0 \neq ab \in P$, either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. Weakly prime ideals were studied in some detail in [3]. A proper submodule N of M is called *weakly prime* if for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $0 \neq rm \in N$, either $m \in N$ or $r \in (N :_R M)$ [10].

Let I be an ideal of R . In [1], the author gave a generalization of weakly prime ideals and said that such ideals I -prime ideals. A proper ideal P of R is called *I -prime ideal* if for $a, b \in R$, $ab \in P \setminus IP$, implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$ [1]. Akray and Hussein in [2] extended I -prime ideals to I -prime submodules. A proper submodule P of M is called an *I -prime submodule* of M if for $r \in R$, $m \in M$, $rm \in P \setminus IP$ implies that $m \in P$ or $r \in (P :_R M)$ [2].

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the notion of I -second submodules of an R -module M as a dual notion of I -prime submodules, where I is an ideal of R and investigate some properties of this class of modules.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C13, 13C99

Keywords and phrases: Second submodule; weak second submodule; I -prime ideal; I -second submodule.

2. Main results

A proper submodule N of an R -module M is said to be *completely irreducible* if $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$, where $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of submodules of M , implies that $N = N_i$ for some $i \in I$. It is easy to see that every submodule of M is an intersection of completely irreducible submodules of M [11].

We use the following basic fact without further comment.

REMARK 2.1. Let N and K be two submodules of an R -module M . To prove $N \subseteq K$, it is enough to show that if L is a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $K \subseteq L$, then $N \subseteq L$.

LEMMA 2.2. [4, 2.10] For a submodule S of an R -module M the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) S is a second submodule of M ;
- (b) $S \neq 0$ and $rS \subseteq K$, where $r \in R$ and K is a submodule of M , implies that either $rS = 0$ or $S \subseteq K$;
- (c) $S \neq 0$ and $rS \subseteq L$, where $r \in R$ and L is a completely irreducible submodule of M , implies that either $rS = 0$ or $S \subseteq L$.

THEOREM 2.3. Let I be an ideal of R . For a non-zero submodule S of an R -module M the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) For each $r \in R$, a submodule K of M , $r \in (K :_R S) \setminus (K :_R (S :_M I))$ implies that $S \subseteq K$ or $r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$;
- (b) For each $r \notin (rS :_R (S :_M I))$, we have $rS = S$ or $rS = 0$;
- (c) $(K :_R S) = \text{Ann}_R(S) \cup (K :_R (S :_M I))$, for any submodule K of M with $S \not\subseteq K$;
- (d) $(K :_R S) = \text{Ann}_R(S)$ or $(K :_R S) = (K :_R (S :_M I))$, for any submodule K of M with $S \not\subseteq K$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $r \notin (rS :_R (S :_M I))$. Then as $rS \subseteq rS$, we have $S \subseteq rS$ or $rS = 0$ by part (a). Thus $rS = S$ or $rS = 0$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Let $r \in R$ and K be a submodule of M such that $r \in (K :_R S) \setminus (K :_R (S :_M I))$. Then if $r \in (rS :_R (S :_M I))$, then $r \in (K :_R (S :_M I))$ which is a contradiction. Thus $r \notin (rS :_R (S :_M I))$. Now by part (b), $rS = S$ or $rS = 0$. So $S \subseteq K$ or $rS = 0$, as needed.

(a) \Rightarrow (c) Let $r \in (K :_R S)$ and $S \not\subseteq K$. If $r \notin (K :_R (S :_M I))$, then $r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$ by part (a). Hence, $(K :_R S) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(S)$. If $r \in (K :_R (S :_M I))$, then $(K :_R S) \subseteq (K :_R (S :_M I))$. Therefore, $(K :_R S) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(S) \cup (K :_R (S :_M I))$. The other inclusion always holds.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) This follows from the fact that if an ideal is a union of two ideals, then it is equal to one of them.

(d) \Rightarrow (a) This is clear. □

DEFINITION 2.4. Let I be an ideal of R . We say that a non-zero submodule S of an R -module M is an I -second submodule of M if satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.3. This can be regarded as a dual notion of I -prime submodule. In case, $I = 0$ we say that S is a *weak second submodule* of M .

Let I be an ideal of R . Clearly every second submodule is an I -second submodule. But the converse is not true in general as we see in the following example.

EXAMPLE 2.5. (a) If $I = 0$, then every module is an I -second submodule of itself but every module is not a second module. For example, the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is weak second which is not second.

(b) Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_{12} . Take $I = 4\mathbb{Z}$ as an ideal of \mathbb{Z} and $S = \bar{3}\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ as a submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{12} . Then S is an I -second submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{12} . But S is not a second submodule.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let I be an ideal of R and S a non-zero submodule of an R -module M . If for each $r \in R$, a completely irreducible submodule L of M , $r \in (L :_R S) \setminus (L :_R (S :_M I))$ implies that $S \subseteq L$ or $r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$ we cannot conclude that (similar to Lemma 2.2 (c) \Rightarrow (a)), S is an I -second submodule of M . For example, consider \mathbb{Z} as a \mathbb{Z} -module. Then $2\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the mentioned condition above but it is not an I -second submodule of \mathbb{Z} for ideal $I = 4\mathbb{Z}$ of \mathbb{Z} .

Let I be an ideal of R and M be an R -module. If $I = R$, then every submodule is an I -second submodule. So in the rest of this paper we can assume that $I \neq R$.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let M be an R -module. Then we have the following.*

(a) *Let I, J be ideals of R such that $I \subseteq J$. If S is an I -second submodule of M , then S is an J -second submodule of M . In particular, every weak second submodule is an I -second submodule for each ideal I of R .*

(b) *If S an I -second submodule of M which is not second, then $\text{Ann}_R(S)(S :_M I) \subseteq S$.*

Proof. (a) The result follows from the fact that $I \subseteq J$ implies that $(rS :_R S) \setminus (rS :_R (S :_M J)) \subseteq (rS :_R S) \setminus (rS :_R (S :_M I))$, for each $r \in R$.

(b) Assume on the contrary that $\text{Ann}_R(S)(S :_M I) \not\subseteq S$. We show that S is second. Let $rS \subseteq K$ for some $r \in R$ and a submodule K of M . If $r \notin (K :_R (S :_M I))$, then S is a I -second submodule implies that $S \subseteq K$ or $r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$ as needed. So assume that $r \in (K :_R (S :_M I))$. First, suppose that $r(S :_M I) \not\subseteq S$. Then there exists a submodule L of M such that $S \subseteq L$ but $r(S :_M I) \not\subseteq L$. Then $r \in (K \cap L :_R S) \setminus (K \cap L :_R (S :_M I))$. So $S \subseteq K \cap L$ or $r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$ and hence $S \subseteq K$ or $r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$. So we can assume that $r(S :_M I) \subseteq S$. On the other hand, if $\text{Ann}_R(S)(S :_M I) \not\subseteq K$, then there exists $t \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$ such that $t \notin (K :_R (S :_M I))$. Then $t + r \in (K :_R S) \setminus (K :_R (S :_M I))$. Thus $S \subseteq K$ or $t + r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$ and hence $S \subseteq K$ or $r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$. So we can assume that $\text{Ann}_R(S)(S :_M I) \subseteq K$. Since $\text{Ann}_R(S)(S :_M I) \not\subseteq S$, there exist $t \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$, a submodule T of M such that $S \subseteq T$ and $t(S :_M I) \not\subseteq T$. Now we have $r + t \in (K \cap T :_R S) \setminus (K \cap T :_R (S :_M I))$. So S is an I -second submodule gives $S \subseteq K \cap T$ or $r + t \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$. Hence $S \subseteq K$ or $r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$, as requested. \square

An R -module M is said to be a *comultiplication module* if for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$ [5].

THEOREM 2.8. *Let I be an ideal of R , M an R -module, and S be a submodule of M . Then we have the following.*

(a) *If S is an I -second submodule of M such that $\text{Ann}_R((S :_M I)) \subseteq \text{IAnn}_R(S)$, then $\text{Ann}_R(S)$ is an I -prime ideal of R .*

(b) *If M is a comultiplication R -module and $\text{Ann}_R(S)$ is an I -prime ideal of R , then S is an I -second submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) Let $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(S) \setminus \text{IAnn}_R(S)$ for some $a, b \in R$. Then $aS \subseteq (0 :_M b)$. As $ab \notin \text{IAnn}_R(S)$ and $\text{Ann}_R((S :_M I)) \subseteq \text{IAnn}_R(S)$, we have $ab \notin \text{Ann}_R((S :_M I))$. This implies that $a \notin ((0 :_M b) :_R (S :_M I))$. Thus $a \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$ or $S \subseteq (0 :_M b)$. Hence $a \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$ or $b \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$, as needed.

(b) Let $r \in (K :_R S) \setminus (K :_R (S :_M I))$ for some $r \in R$ and submodule K of M . As M is a comultiplication R -module, there exists an ideal J of R such that $K = (0 :_M J)$. Thus $rJ \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(S)$. Since $r \notin (K :_R (S :_M I))$, we have $Jr(S :_M I) \neq 0$. This implies that $Jr \not\subseteq \text{Ann}_R((S :_M I))$. Since always $\text{IAnn}_R(S) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R((S :_M I))$, we have $rJ \not\subseteq \text{IAnn}_R(S)$. Thus by assumption, $r \in \text{Ann}_R(S)$ or $J \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(S)$ and so $S \subseteq (0 :_M J) = K$. \square

The next corollary follows from Theorem 2.11, by setting $I = 0$.

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let M an R -module and S be a submodule of M . Then we have the following.*

(a) *If M is faithful and S is a weak second submodule of M , then $\text{Ann}_R(S)$ is a weakly prime ideal of R .*

(b) *If M is a comultiplication R -module and $\text{Ann}_R(S)$ is a weakly prime ideal of R , then S is a weak second submodule of M .*

The following example shows that the condition “ M is a comultiplication R -module” in Corollary 2.9 (b) cannot be omitted.

EXAMPLE 2.10. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, and $S = 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus 0$. Then M is not a comultiplication R -module. Clearly, $\text{Ann}_R(S) = 0$ is a weakly prime ideal of R . But S is not a weak second submodule of M .

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let I be an ideal of R and M be an R -module. Let N be an I -second submodule of M . Then we have the following statements.*

(a) *If K is a submodule of M with $K \subset N$, then N/K is an I -second submodule of M/K .*

(b) *Let N be a finitely generated submodule of M and S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R with $\text{Ann}_R(N) \cap S = \emptyset$. Then $S^{-1}N$ is an $S^{-1}I$ -second submodule of $S^{-1}M$.*

Proof. (a) This follows from the fact that $r \notin (r(S/K) :_R (S/K :_{M/K} I))$ implies that $r \notin (rS :_R (S :_M I))$.

(b) As $\text{Ann}_R(N) \cap S = \emptyset$ and N is finitely generated, $S^{-1}N \neq 0$ by using [8, P. 43, Exe. 1]. Now the claim follows from the fact that $r/s \notin ((r/s)S^{-1}N :_{S^{-1}R} (S^{-1}N :_{S^{-1}M} S^{-1}I))$ implies that $r \notin (rN :_R (N :_M I))$. \square

THEOREM 2.12. *Let M be a primary R -module. Then every proper weak second submodule of M is a primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Let N be a proper weak second submodule of M and $rx \in N$ for some $r \in R$ and $x \in M$. If $r \notin (rN :_R M)$, then $rN = 0$ or $rN = N$ since N is weak second. In the first case, $r^2x \in rN = 0$. Now as M is primary, $x = 0$ or $r \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M)}$. This implies that $x \in N$ or $r \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M/N)}$, as needed. If $rN = N$, then $rx = rn$ for some $n \in N$. This implies that $x = n \in N$ or $r \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M)} \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M/N)}$ since M is primary. Now suppose that $r \in (rN :_R M)$. Then $rx \in rM \subseteq rN$. Therefore, similarly to the pervious case we are done. \square

PROPOSITION 2.13. *Let I be an ideal of R , M and \acute{M} be R -modules, and let $f : M \rightarrow \acute{M}$ be an R -monomorphism. If \acute{N} is an I -second submodule of \acute{M} such that $\acute{N} \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$, then $f^{-1}(\acute{N})$ is an I -second submodule of M .*

Proof. As $\acute{N} \neq 0$ and $\acute{N} \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$, we have $f^{-1}(\acute{N}) \neq 0$. Let $r \notin (rf^{-1}(\acute{N}) :_R (f^{-1}(\acute{N}) :_M I))$; then one can see that $r \notin (r\acute{N} :_R (\acute{N} :_{\acute{M}} I))$ by using assumptions. Thus $r\acute{N} = 0$ or $r\acute{N} = \acute{N}$. This implies that $rf^{-1}(\acute{N}) = 0$ or $rf^{-1}(\acute{N}) = f^{-1}(\acute{N})$ as requested. \square

Let R_i be a commutative ring with identity and M_i be an R_i -module, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Then $M = M_1 \times M_2$ is an R -module and each submodule of M is of the form $N = N_1 \times N_2$ for some submodules N_1 of M_1 and N_2 of M_2 .

LEMMA 2.14. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a decomposable ring, $I = I_1 \times I_2$ an ideal of R , and $M = M_1 \times M_2$ be an R -module, where M_1 is an R_1 -module and M_2 is an R_2 -module. If $(0 :_{M_2} I_2) \neq 0$ and S_1 is a non-zero R_1 -submodule of M_1 , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) S_1 is a second R_1 -submodule of M_1 ;
- (b) $S_1 \times 0$ is a second R -submodule of $M = M_1 \times M_2$;
- (c) $S_1 \times 0$ is an I -second R -submodule of $M = M_1 \times M_2$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) follows from [7, 2.23] and (b) \Rightarrow (c) is clear.

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Let $r \in R_1$. Then $(0 :_{M_2} I_2) \neq 0$ implies that $(r_1, 1)(S_1 \times 0 :_{M_1 \times M_2} I) \not\subseteq (r_1, 1)(S_1 \times 0)$. Thus by part (c), $(r_1, 1)(S_1 \times 0) = (S_1 \times 0)$ or $(r_1, 1)(S_1 \times 0) = 0 \times 0$. Hence $r_1S_1 = S_1$ or $r_1S_1 = 0$, as needed. \square

THEOREM 2.15. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a decomposable ring and $M = M_1 \times M_2$ be an R -module, where M_1 is an R_1 -module and M_2 is an R_2 -module. Let I be an ideal of R such that $(0 :_{M_1} I_1) \neq 0$ and $(0 :_{M_2} I_2) \neq 0$. If $S = S_1 \times S_2$ is an I -second R -submodule of $M = M_1 \times M_2$, then either $(S :_M I) = S$ or S is a second submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $(S :_M I) \neq S$. Then either $(S_1 :_{M_1} I_1) \neq S_1$ or $(S_2 :_{M_2} I_2) \neq S_2$. Suppose that $(S_2 :_{M_2} I_2) \neq S_2$. Then $(S_2 :_{R_2} (S_2 :_{M_2} I_2)) \neq R$. Hence $1 \notin (S_2 :_{R_2} (S_2 :_{M_2} I_2))$. If $S_1 = 0$, then the result follows from Lemma 2.14. So suppose that $S_1 \neq 0$. As $(0, 1) \notin ((0, 1)(S_1 \times S_2) :_R (S_1 \times S_2 :_M I))$, we have $(0, 1)(S_1 \times S_2) = S_1 \times S_2$ or $(0, 1)(S_1 \times S_2) = 0 \times S_2$ since $S = S_1 \times S_2$ is an I -second R -submodule of M . Therefore, $S_2 = 0$. Hence by Lemma 2.14, $S = S_1 \times 0$ is a second R -submodule of M . \square

EXAMPLE 2.16. Let $R_1 = R_2 = M_1 = M_2 = S_1 = \mathbb{Z}_6$. Then by Theorem 2.15, $S_1 \times 0$ is not a weak second submodule of $M_1 \times M_2$.

THEOREM 2.17. *Let I be an ideal of R , M_1, M_2 be R -modules, and let N be a submodule of M_1 . Then $N \oplus 0$ is an I -second submodule of $M_1 \oplus M_2$ if and only if N is an I -second submodule of M_1 and for $r \in (rN :_R (N :_{M_1} I))$, $rN \neq 0$, and $rN \neq N$, we have $r \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_{M_2} I))$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $r \notin (rN :_R (N :_{M_1} I))$. Then $r \notin (r(N \oplus 0) :_R (N \oplus 0 :_M I))$. Since $N \oplus 0$ is an I -second submodule, either $r(N \oplus 0) = N \oplus 0$ or $r(N \oplus 0) = 0 \oplus 0$. Thus either $rN = N$ or $rN = 0$, so N is I -second. Now, let $r \in (rN :_R (N :_{M_1} I))$, $rN \neq 0$, and $rN \neq N$. Assume on the contrary that $r \notin \text{Ann}_R((0 :_{M_2} I))$. Then there exists $x_2 \in M_2$ such that $Ix_2 = 0$ and $rx_2 \neq 0$. This implies that $r(0, x_2) \in r(N \oplus 0 :_M I) \setminus r(N \oplus 0)$. So since $N \oplus 0$ is an I -second submodule, either $r(N \oplus 0) = N \oplus 0$ or $r(N \oplus 0) = 0 \oplus 0$. Thus either $rN = N$ or $rN = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $r \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_{M_2} I))$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $r \notin (r(N \oplus 0) :_R (N \oplus 0 :_M I))$. Then if $rN = N$ or $rN = 0$, the result is clear. So suppose that $rN \neq N$ and $rN \neq 0$. We show that $r \notin (rN :_R (N :_{M_1} I))$ and this contradiction proves the result because N is an I -second submodule of M_1 . Assume on the contrary that $r \in (rN :_R (N :_{M_1} I))$. Then by assumption, $r \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_{M_2} I))$. This implies that if $(x_1, x_2) \in N \oplus (0 :_M I)$, then $r(x_1, x_2) \in r(N \oplus 0)$. Therefore, $r \in (r(N \oplus 0) :_R (N \oplus 0 :_M I))$, which is a desired contradiction. \square

A non-zero R -module M is said to be *secondary* if for each $a \in R$ the endomorphism of M given by multiplication by a is either surjective or nilpotent [13].

COROLLARY 2.18. *Let I and P be ideals of R , M_1, M_2 be R -modules, and let N be a submodule of M_1 . Let S_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) be P -secondary submodules of M_1 with $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i = (N :_{M_1} I)$. If N is an I -second submodule of M_1 and $P \subseteq \text{Ann}_R((0 :_{M_2} I))$, then $N \oplus 0$ is an I -second submodule of $M_1 \oplus M_2$.*

Proof. Let $r \in (rN :_R (N :_{M_1} I))$, $rN \neq 0$, and $rN \neq N$. Then we will prove that $r \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_{M_2} I))$ and hence the result is obtained by Theorem 2.17. Assume on the contrary that $r \notin \text{Ann}_R((0 :_{M_2} I))$. Hence $r \notin P$. On the other hand, $r(\sum_{i=1}^n S_i) = r(N :_{M_1} I) \subseteq rN$. But $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i$ is a P -secondary submodule by [13, 2.1], so either $r(\sum_{i=1}^n S_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i$ or $r \in P$. This implies that $rN = N$ or $r \in P$, which is a contradiction. Thus $r \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_{M_2} I))$. \square

THEOREM 2.19. *Let I be an ideal of R and M be an R -module. Then we have the following.*

(a) If $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n M = 0$ and every proper submodule of M is I -prime, then every non-zero submodule of M is I -second.

(b) If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (0 :_M I^n) = M$ and every non-zero submodule of M is I -second, then every proper submodule of M is I -prime.

Proof. (a) Let S be a non-zero submodule of M , $r \in (K :_R S) \setminus (K :_R (S :_M I))$ for some $r \in R$ and a submodule K of M and $rS \neq 0$. If $rS \not\subseteq IK$, then as K is I -prime, we have $rM \subseteq K$ or $S \subseteq K$. If $rM \subseteq K$, then $r(S :_M I) \subseteq K$ which is a contradiction. So $S \subseteq K$ and we are done. Now suppose that $rS \subseteq IK$. As $rS \neq 0$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n K = 0$, there exists a positive integer t such that $rS \not\subseteq I^t K$. Therefore, there is a positive integer h such that $rS \subseteq I^{h-1} K$ but $rS \not\subseteq I^h K$, where $2 \leq h \leq t$. Thus since $I^{h-1} K$ is I -prime, $S \subseteq I^{h-1} K$ or $rM \subseteq I^{h-1} K$. If $rM \subseteq I^{h-1} K$, then $r(S :_M I) \subseteq K$ which is a contradiction. So $S \subseteq I^{h-1} K$ as needed.

(b) Let P be a proper submodule of M , $rK \subseteq P \setminus IP$ for some $r \in R$ and a submodule K of M and $rM \not\subseteq P$. If $r(K :_M I) \not\subseteq P$, then as K is I -second, we have $rK = 0$ or $K \subseteq P$. If $rK = 0$, then $rK \subseteq IP$ which is a contradiction. So $K \subseteq P$ and we are done. Now suppose that $r(K :_M I) \subseteq P$. As $rM \not\subseteq P$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (K :_M I^n) = M$, there exists a positive integer t such that $r(K :_M I^t) \not\subseteq P$. Therefore, there is a positive integer h such that $r(K :_M I^{h-1}) \subseteq P$ but $r(K :_M I^h) \not\subseteq P$, where $2 \leq h \leq t$. Thus since $(K :_M I^{h-1})$ is I -second, $(K :_M I^{h-1}) \subseteq P$ or $r(K :_M I^{h-1}) = 0$. If $r(K :_M I^{h-1}) = 0$, then $0 = rK \subseteq IP$ which is a contradiction. So $K \subseteq (K :_M I^{h-1}) \subseteq P$ as needed. \square

By setting $I = 0$ in the previous theorem we get the following.

COROLLARY 2.20. *Let I be an ideal of R and M be an R -module. Then every proper submodule of M is weakly prime if and only if every non-zero submodule of M is weakly second.*

COROLLARY 2.21. *Let (R, m) be a local ring and M be an R -module. Then we have the following.*

(a) *If M is a Noetherian R -module and every proper submodule of M is I -prime, then every non-zero submodule of M is I -second.*

(b) *If M is an Artinian R -module and every non-zero submodule of M is I -second, then every proper submodule of M is I -prime.*

Proof. Part (a) follows from [12, 4.6] and Theorem 2.19, while (b) follows from [6, 3.2] and Theorem 2.19. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Akray, *I*-prime ideals, Journal of Algebra and Related Topics, **4**(2) (2016), 41–47.
- [2] I. Akray, H. S. Hussein, *I*-prime submodules, to be appear.
- [3] D.D. Anderson, E. Smith, *Weakly prime ideals*, Houston J. Math., **29** (2003), 831–840.
- [4] H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime submodules (II)*, Mediterr. J. Math., **9**(2) (2012), 329–338.

- [5] H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of multiplication modules*, Taiwanese J. Math., **11**(4) (2007), 1189–1201.
- [6] H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, *On dual versions of Krull's intersection Theorem*, Int. Math. Forum, **2**(54) (2007), 2655–2659.
- [7] H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, *2-absorbing and strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodules of modules*, Le Matematiche, **72**(11) (2017), 123–135.
- [8] M. Atiyah, I. MacDonal, *Introduction to commutative Algebra*, Reading: Addison-Wesley., 1969 Jan.
- [9] J. Dauns, *Prime submodules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **298** (1978), 156–181.
- [10] S. Ebrahimi Atani, F. Farzalipour, *On weakly prime submodules*, Tamk. J. Math., **38**(3) (2007), 247–252.
- [11] L. Fuchs, W. Heinzer, B. Olberding, *Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: Irreducibility in the quotient field*, in : Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **249** (2006), 121–145.
- [12] T.W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [13] I.G. Macdonald, *Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring*, Sympos. Math. XI (1973), 23–43.
- [14] S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **37** (2001), 273–278.

(received 29.08.2018; in revised form 27.12.2018; available online 26.07.2019)

Department of Mathematics, Farhangian University, Tehran, Iran

E-mail: f.farshadifar@cfu.ac.ir

Department of pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran

E-mail: ansari@guilan.ac.ir