

## SOME INEQUALITIES FOR ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TYPE

Milutin Dostanić

**Abstract.** In this paper we give simple proofs of some inequalities for entire functions of exponential type.

### 1. Introduction

There are many  $L^p$ -inequalities, as well as inequalities in the uniform norm, concerning entire functions of exponential type and their derivatives. One of the most known inequalities is Bernstein's inequality

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f'(x)| \leq \sigma \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)|, \quad (1)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(x)|^p dx \leq \sigma^p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx, \quad (2)$$

which holds for entire functions of type  $\leq \sigma$ . In the case  $p \geq 1$  the inequalities (1) and (2) were proved in a more general form in [1]. An extension to the case  $0 < p < 1$  was done in [4], where it was proved that for arbitrary numbers  $A, B$  with  $\text{Im}(A/B) \geq 0$  and an arbitrary entire function of exponential type  $\leq \sigma$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Af(x) + Bf'(x)|^p dx \leq |A + i\sigma B|^p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx. \quad (3)$$

In this paper we give simple proofs of some inequalities that are interesting by themselves and may be of some interest in other investigations.

### 2. Results

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f$  be an entire function of exponential type  $\sigma$  and  $P$  a polynomial. Let  $P = P_+P_-$ , where  $P_+$  and  $P_-$  are polynomials whose zeros lie in  $\Pi_+ = \{z : \text{Im } z \geq 0\}$  and  $\Pi_- = \{z : \text{Im } z < 0\}$  respectively. Then*

---

*AMS Subject Classification:* 30D15

*Keywords and phrases:* Entire function of exponential type, Bernstein's inequality

Supported by Ministry of Science and technology RS, grant number 04M01

a) If  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^r dx < +\infty$  ( $r > 0$ ), then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P(d/dx)f(x)|^r dx \leq |P_+(-i\sigma)P_-(i\sigma)|^r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^r dx. \quad (4)$$

b) If  $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)| < +\infty$ , then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |P(d/dx)f(x)| \leq |P_+(-i\sigma)P_-(i\sigma)| \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)|. \quad (5)$$

THEOREM 2. Let  $f$  be an entire function of exponential type  $\sigma$  such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx < +\infty$  ( $p > 0$ ). Then

$$|f(x)|^p \leq \frac{2\sigma pm}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(u)|^p du \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}), \quad (6)$$

where  $m = \min_{t>0} (e^t - 1)/t^2$ .

It was proved in [1] that if  $f$  is an entire function of exponential type such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty$  for some  $p \geq 1$ , then it is bounded on  $\mathbf{R}$ . In [4] this was extended to all  $p > 0$ , but the method did not give an estimate from above for  $\|f\|_{\infty}/\|f\|_p$ , where  $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)|$ ,  $\|f\|_p = (\int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$ .

Theorem 2 asserts that  $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq C(p, \sigma)\|f\|_p$  where  $C(p, \sigma) = (2\sigma mp/\pi)^{1/p}$ . This constant (in the case  $p \geq 1$ ) is better than the one given in [1]. It is an open question what is the best constant in (6).

### 3. Proofs

Theorem 1 (a) is a direct consequence of (3). Indeed, from (3) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\alpha f(x) + f'(x)|^r dx \leq |\alpha + i\sigma|^r \int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^r dx,$$

provided  $\text{Im } \alpha \geq 0$ . Let  $P(z) = \prod_{i=1}^m (z + \alpha_i)$ ,  $\text{Im } \alpha_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then by successive applications of the last inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |P(d/dx)f(x)|^r dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i + \frac{d}{dx} \right) \right) f(x) \right|^r dx \\ &\leq |\alpha_m + i\sigma|^r \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( \alpha_i + \frac{d}{dx} \right) \right) f(x) \right|^r dx \leq \dots \\ &\leq |\alpha_m + i\sigma|^r |\alpha_{m-1} + i\sigma|^r \dots |\alpha_1 + i\sigma|^r \int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^r dx \\ &= |P(\sigma i)|^r \int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^r dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the case when all the zeros of  $P$  lie in  $\Pi_+$ , an application of the preceding inequality to the polynomial  $P_1(z) = P(-z)$  and the entire function  $f_1(z) = f(-z)$  shows that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |P(d/dx)f(x)|^r dx \leq |P(-i\sigma)|^r \int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^r dx.$$

Finally, if  $P = P_+P_-$ , then combining the last two inequalities we obtain (4).

Inequality (5) cannot be obtained from (4) as the limit when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , because the interval of integration is unbounded. In proving (5) we shall use Levitan polynomials [1], [3] as well as the following theorem [3].

Let the roots of an algebraic polynomial  $P$  lie in  $\Pi_+$  and let

$$S(\theta) = \sum_{\nu=-n}^n b_\nu e^{i\nu\theta}, \quad T(\theta) = \sum_{\nu=-n}^n a_\nu e^{i\nu\theta}, \quad a_{-n} \neq 0.$$

If  $T(\theta) \neq 0$  in  $\Pi_+$  and  $|S(\theta)| < |T(\theta)|$  for all  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ , then  $|P(d/d\theta)S(\theta)| < |P(d/d\theta)T(\theta)|$  for all  $\theta \in \Pi_+$ .

Hence, by taking  $T(\theta) = e^{-in\theta} \max_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} |S(\theta)|$  we obtain the following

LEMMA. *If all the zeros of a polynomial  $P$  lie in  $\Pi_+$  and  $S$  is a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $n$ , then*

$$\max_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} |P(d/d\theta)S(\theta)| \leq |P(-in)| \max_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} |S(\theta)|.$$

Let  $\varphi(x) = (\sin \pi x / \pi x)^2$  and  $f$  be an entire function of exponential type  $\sigma$  such that  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)| = M < +\infty$ . For  $h > 0$  we define

$$f_h(z) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(hz + \nu) f\left(z + \frac{\nu}{h}\right).$$

It turns out [3] that  $f_h$  has the following properties:

- 1°  $f_h$  is a trigonometric polynomial,  $f_h(z) = \sum_{\nu=-N}^N a_\nu e^{2\pi i\nu h z}$ ,  $a_\nu \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $N = 1 + [\sigma/2\pi h]$  (Levitan polynomial).
- 2°  $\|f_h\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f_h(x)| \leq M$ .
- 3°  $\lim_{h \rightarrow +0} f_h(z) = f(z)$ , the convergence being uniform on compact subsets. (The same holds for the derivatives.)

Consider first the case of a polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^m d_k z^k$  with zeros in  $\Pi_+$ . Applying Lemma to the trigonometric polynomial  $f_h(\theta/2\pi h)$  (of degree  $N = 1 + [\sigma/2\pi h]$ ) and the polynomial  $P_h(z) = P(2\pi h z)$ , it follows

$$\max_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} |P_h(d/d\theta)f_h(\theta/2\pi h)| \leq |P_h(-iN)| \max_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} |f_h(\theta/2\pi h)| \leq |P_h(-iN)| \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)|,$$

i.e.

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^m d_k f_h^{(k)}(\theta/2\pi h) \right| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)| \cdot |P_h(-iN)|.$$

Hence,  $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^m d_k f_h^{(k)}(x) \right| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)| \cdot |P_h(-iN)|$ .

When  $h \rightarrow 0+$  we obtain  $P_h(-iN) = P(-2\pi hiN) \rightarrow P(-i\sigma)$  and, since  $f_h^{(k)} \rightarrow f^{(k)}$ , we have  $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |\sum_{k=0}^m d_k f^{(k)}(x)| \leq |P(-i\sigma)| \cdot \|f\|_\infty$ , i.e.

$$|P(d/dx)f(x)|_\infty \leq |P(-i\sigma)| \cdot \|f\|_\infty. \quad (7)$$

If the zeros of  $P$  lie in  $\Pi_-$ , then we only have to apply the preceding inequality to  $P_1(z) = P(-z)$  and  $f_1(z) = f(-z)$ . So we obtain, for such polynomials, the inequality  $|P(d/dx)f(x)|_\infty \leq |P(i\sigma)| \cdot \|f\|_\infty$ . By successive applications of the last inequality and (7) we obtain (5).

*Proof of Theorem 2.* In [2], p. 98 it is proved that if  $f$  is an entire function of exponential type  $\sigma$  such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty$  ( $p > 0$ ) then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x + iy)|^p dx \leq e^{p\sigma|y|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx.$$

Hence

$$\int_{-h}^h dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x + iy)|^p dx \leq \|f\|_p^p \int_{-h}^h e^{p\sigma|y|} dy = 2\|f\|_p^p \frac{e^{p\sigma h} - 1}{p\sigma},$$

i.e.

$$\int_{|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq h} |f(z)|^p dA(z) \leq 2\|f\|_p^p \frac{e^{p\sigma h} - 1}{p\sigma}.$$

(Here  $dA(z) = dx dy$ ,  $z = x + iy$ .)

Since  $|f|^p$  is a subharmonic function we have that

$$|f(t)|^p \leq \frac{1}{\pi h^2} \int_{|z-t| \leq h} |f|^p dA \leq 2\|f\|_p^p \frac{e^{p\sigma h} - 1}{p\sigma} \frac{1}{\pi h^2}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

i.e.

$$|f(t)|^p \leq \frac{2p\sigma \|f\|_p^p}{\pi} \frac{e^{p\sigma h} - 1}{(p\sigma h)^2}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The last inequality holds for all  $h > 0$  and hence

$$|f(t)|^p \leq \frac{2p\sigma}{\pi} \min_{x>0} \frac{e^x - 1}{x^2} \|f\|_p^p, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Н. И. Ахиезер, *Лекции по теории аппроксимации*, Наука, Москва 1965.
- [2] R. P. Boas, Jr., *Entire functions*, Academic Press, New York 1954.
- [3] Б. Я. Левин, *Распределение корней целых функций*, Москва 1956.
- [4] Qazi I. Rahman, G. Schmeisser,  *$L^p$  inequalities for entire functions of exponential type*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **320** (1990), 91–103

(received 26.08.1996.)

Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16, Belgrade, Yugoslavia